arXiv:0809.4748v1 [math.DG] 27 Sep 2008

CONSTRUCTING BALANCED METRICS ON SOME FAMILIES OF NON-KÄHLER CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS

JIXIANG FU, JUN LI, AND SHING-TUNG YAU

Abstract. We construct balanced metrics on the family of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds that are obtained by smoothing after contracting $(-1,-1)$-rational curves on Kähler Calabi-Yau threefold. As an application, we construct balanced metrics on complex manifolds diffeomorphic to connected sum of $k \geq 2$ copies of $S^3 \times S^3$.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to construct balanced metrics on a class of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds.

A smooth Calabi-Yau threefold is a three dimensional complex manifold with finite fundamental group and trivial canonical line bundle. In case it is Kähler, the solution of the Calabi-Conjecture by the last author [25] provides a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in each Kähler class of the threefold. Such metrics, known as Calabi-Yau metrics, are the bedrocks of geometric studies of Calabi-Yau threefolds. In case the Calabi-Yau threefold is non-Kähler, one still likes to find some "canonical" metrics on it. One proposal is the balanced metrics. A balanced metric on a complex n-dimensional manifold is a hermitian metric whose hermitian form ω satisfying $d(\omega^{n-1}) = 0$ (see [19]).

Balanced metrics are more flexible. For instance, the existence of balanced metrics is preserved under birational transformations [1]; in case the manifolds satisfy the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma, it is also preserved under deformation of complex structures [24]. In this paper, we will consider the existence problem when the manifolds undergo a special class of transformations—smoothing after contraction.

Let Y be a smooth Kähler Calabi-Yau threefold that contains a collection of mutually disjoint $(-1,-1)$-curves $E_1, \cdots, E_l \subset Y$; these are smooth, isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$ and have normal bundles isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of degree -1 line bundles over them. By contracting all E_i, we obtain a singular Calabi-Yau threefold X_0. The Calabi-Yau threefold X_0 can be smoothed to a family of Calabi-Yau threefolds X_t, possibly non-Kähler, when the curves E_i satisfy the criterion of Friedman [6, 7]. The connected sum $\#_k S^3 \times S^3$ of k copies of $S^3 \times S^3$ for any $k \geq 2$ can be given a complex structure in this way [7, 17]. The main theorem of this paper is that we can find balanced metrics on X_t so that they form a well-bahaved family.

Theorem 1. *Let Y be a smooth Kähler Calabi-Yau threefold and let $Y \to X_0$ be a contraction of mutually disjoint $(-1,-1)$-curves. Suppose X_0 can be smoothed to a* *family of smooth Calabi-Yau threefolds X_t. Then for sufficiently small t, X_t admit smooth balanced metrics.*

This theorem will play an important role in investigating the geometry of Calabi-Yau threefolds within the framework of Reid's conjecture. To shed lights on the immense collection of diverse Calabi-Yau threefolds, Reid conjectured that all Calabi-Yau threefolds fit into a single universal moduli space in which families of smooth Calabi-Yau's are connected by certain birational transformations and smoothings [22]. Were this confirmed, it would provide us a mean to study the geometry of Calabi-Yau uniformly.

The current work is our attempt to strengthen Reid's conjecture in the framework of metric geometry. As a first step toward this direction, we shall look into a special class of contraction-smoothing transformations: the conifold transitions that are contraction of $(-1,-1)$-curves followed by smoothing. In this paper, we prove that such transformations can be carried out metrically within the framework of balanced metrics.

Our construction of the family of balanced metrics allows one to investigate the metric geometry of the conifold transition further along the line of Strominger's coupled system of supersymmetry with torsion. In short, Strominger [23] proposed the system of a pair (ω, h) of a hermitian metric ω on a Calabi-Yau threefold Y and a hermitian metric h on a vector bundle V:

$$d^*\omega = \sqrt{-1}(\bar\partial - \partial)\ln\|\Omega\|_\omega;$$

$$F(h)\wedge\omega^2 = 0;\quad F(h)^{2,0} = F(h)^{0,2} = 0;$$

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\,\omega = 4^{-1}\alpha'\left(\mathrm{tr}\big(R(\omega)\wedge R(\omega)\big) - \mathrm{tr}\big(F(h)\wedge F(h)\big)\right)^{1}.$$

It was observed that the first equation is equivalent to that ω is conformal to a balanced metric [15]:

$$d(\|\ \Omega\ \|_\omega\ \omega^2) = 0.$$

Also, in case V is the tangent bundle T_Y and ω is Kähler, the system is solved by taking the Calabi-Yau metric on Y and on T_Y.

This system should be viewed as a generalization of Calabi conjecture for the case of non-Kähler Calabi-Yau manifolds.

The existence of smooth solutions of the Strominger's system has been studied by the authors. Using perturbation method, the second and the third author [15] constructed irreducible smooth solutions to a class of Kähler Calabi-Yaus on some $U(4)$ and $U(5)$ principle bundles. Shortly after, the first and the third authors [9] constructed solutions to this system on a class of non-Kähler three dimensional manifold, i.e., on T^2-bundles over $K3$ surface. Recently, Fu, Tseng and Yau [8] presented explicit solutions on T^2-bundles over the Eguchi-Hanson space.

A natural question along the line of Reid's conjecture is to see how solutions to Strominger's system on Y are related to that of X_0 and of X_t. A positive answer to this will play an important role in the study of Calabi-Yau geometry and to superstring theories. To achieve this, the existence of families of balanced metrics on X_t

---

[1] Here Ω is the holomorphic three-form, $R(\omega)$ is the full curvature of ω and $F(h)$ is the hermitian curvature of h.

with good limiting behavior near the singularities is essential. The results proved in this paper provide a solution to this question.

We note that for explicit existence result, Goldstein and Prokushkin [10] constructed balanced metrics on torus bundles over $K3$ surfaces and over complex abelian surfaces (cf. [5] and [3]). Later, D. Grantcharov, G. Grantcharov and Y.S. Poon [11] constructed CYT structures on torus bundles over more general compact Kähler surfaces; as a consequence they constructed CYT structures on complex manifolds of topological type $(k-1)(S^2\times S^4)\#k(S^3\times S^3)$ for $k\ge 1$. Note that for compact complex manifolds with trivial canonical line bundles, the existence of a CYT strctures and of balanced metrics are equivalent (e.g. [16]). In comparison, our construction provides balanced metrics on a larger class of threefolds; they include those of types $\#_k S^3\times S^3$ for any $k\ge 2$.

Corollary 2. *$\#_k S^3\times S^3$ for any $k\ge 2$ admits a balanced metric.*

We now outline the proof of our existence theorem. Our first step is to modify a Kähler metric on Y near the $(-1,-1)$-curves E_i to get a balance metric ω_0 on the contraction X_0 that is smooth and balanced away from the singularities of X_0; near its singularities, ω_0 coincides with the Ricci-flat metric of Candelas-de la Ossa's (see [4]). Note that this *local* construction only yields a balanced metric because the areas of E_i under the new metric ω_0 are zero, which is impossible if ω_0 is closed. This is done in Section 2.

After, we shall deform ω_0 to a family of smooth balanced metrics on X_t. Since Candelas-de la Ossa's metrics on the cone singularity can be deformed to smooth Ricci-flat metrics on the smoothing of the cone singularity, we can deform ω_0 to smooth hermitian metrics ω_t that are Kähler near the singular points of X_0 and are almost balanced on X_t for small t. To get balanced metrics, we first perturb ω_t^2 by

$$\Omega_t=\omega_t^2+\theta_t+\bar\theta_t,\qquad d\Omega_t=0,$$

with $\theta_t=i\partial\mu_t$ for μ_t a $(1,2)$-form on X_t that solves the system

$$\partial_t\bar\partial_t\mu_t=\bar\partial_t\omega_t^2\quad\text{and}\quad \mu_t\perp_{\omega_t}\ker\partial_t\bar\partial_t.$$

We then solve $\Omega_t=(\tilde\omega_t)^2$. For this possible, we need to keep Ω_t positive, namely we need that the C^0-norm $\|\theta_t\|_{\omega_t}$ approaches zero as t approaches zero.

To this end, we choose γ_t to be solutions to the Kodaira-Spencer equation $E_t(\gamma_t)=\bar\partial\omega_t^2$ subject to $\gamma_t\perp_{\omega_t}\ker E_t$. It is direct to check that the solutions γ_t automatically satisfy $\partial_t\gamma_t=0$ and $\mu_t=-i\bar\partial_t^*\partial_t^*\gamma_t$. Applying the elliptic estimates, the L^2-estimates and the vanishing of L^2-cohomology groups, we prove that $\lim_{t\to 0}t^\kappa\|\theta_t\|_{C^0}^2=0$ for $\kappa>-\frac{4}{3}$. The Section 3 and 4 are devoted to developing these estimates.

We comment that the construction of the family of hermitian metrics ω_t and the estimate on the perturbation terms θ_t gives a precise control on the local behavior of the metrics $\tilde\omega_t$ near the singularities of X_0. Such information will be useful in the further study on how balanced metrics transform under conifold transitions.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank P.-F. Gaun, J.-X. Hong, Q.-C. Ji, L. Saper, V. Tossati and Y.-L. Xin for useful discussions. The first author is supported partially by NSFC grants 10771037 and 10831008, the second author is supported partially by NSF grant DMS-0601002, and third author is supported partially by NSF grants DMS-0306600 and PHY-0714648.

2. BALANCED METRICS WITH CONIFOLD SINGULARITY

Let Y be a Kähler Calabi-Yau threefold and ω its Kähler metric. Let $\cup E_i \subset Y$ be a collection of disjoint union of $(-1,-1)$ curves. By contracting E_i we obtain a variety X_0 with ordinary double point singularity. In this section, by modifying the 4-form ω^2 we shall construct a closed, smooth positive 4-form ω_0^2 on $Y - \cup E_i$ that defines a balanced hermitian metric and descends to the Candelas and De la Ossa cone Ricci-flat metric near the singular points of X_0.

We begin with setting up the convention for the geometry of Y near the $(-1,-1)$-curves. First, since the construction in this section is local, we only need to consider the case of contracting one $(-1,-1)$-curve. Accordingly, we let $E \subset Y$ be the $(-1,-1)$-curve to be contracted and let $p \in X_0$ be the only singular point. We let L be the degree -1 line bundle on E; we pick a neighborhood U of E in Y that is biholomorphic to the disk bundle in $L^{\oplus 2}$.

To give a coordinate to U, we fix an isomorphism $E \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, pick an $\infty \in E$ and let $z \in E - \infty = \mathbb{C}$ be the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. We also let (u,v) be the obvious coordinate for $L^{\oplus 2}|_{E-\infty} \equiv \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}_{E-\infty}$, and let $\mathbf{r}$ be the function

$$\mathbf{r}(z,u,v) = (1+\mid z\mid^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mid u\mid^2 + \mid v\mid^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.1}$$

A direct check shows that this function extends to a smooth hermitian metric of $L^{\oplus 2}$. Since the neighborhood U is biholomorphic to a disk bundle of $L^{\oplus 2}$, we might as well make it the unit disk bundle under the given hermitian metric. In the following, we shall fix such an isomorphism once and for all; for $1 \geq c > 0$, we shall view

$$U(c) = \{(z,u,v) \in U \mid \mathbf{r}(z,u,v) < c\} \subset U(1) = U \subset Y \tag{2.2}$$

as an open subset of $U \subset Y$ without further mentioning.

Next, we recall the Candelas-de la Ossa's metric on U. We comment that using (2.1) and the convention (2.2), $\mathbf{r}$ is a function on $U \subset Y$. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\bar\partial \mathbf{r}^2 =& i(\mid u\mid^2 + \mid v\mid^2)\, dz\wedge d\bar z + i(1+\mid z\mid^2)(du\wedge d\bar u + dv\wedge d\bar v)\\ &+ iz\bar u\, du\wedge d\bar z + i\bar z u\, dz\wedge d\bar u + iz\bar v\, dv\wedge d\bar z + iv\bar z\, dz\wedge d\bar v. \end{aligned}$$

To make the forthcoming manipulation more tractable, we notice that since both $L^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathbf{r}^2$, thus the above form as well, are invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(E) = PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$, to study the positivity of invariant form we only need to work out its restriction to a single point in E, say at $z = 0$.

We also introduce

$$\lambda_1 = dz,\quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\bar u du + \bar v dv}{\sqrt{\mid u\mid^2 + \mid v\mid^2}},\quad \lambda_3 = \frac{v du - u dv}{\sqrt{\mid u\mid^2 + \mid v\mid^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{k\bar l} = i\lambda_k \wedge \lambda_{\bar l}.$$

Then the above form restricting to 0 is

$$i\partial\bar\partial \mathbf{r}^2|_{z=0} = \mathbf{r}^2\lambda_{1\bar 1} + \lambda_{2\bar 2} + \lambda_{3\bar 3}. \tag{2.3}$$

For the same reason, $i\partial \mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar\partial \mathbf{r}^2$ is also invariant; its restriction at $z=0$ is

$$i\partial \mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar\partial \mathbf{r}^2|_{z=0} = \mathbf{r}^2 \lambda_{2\bar 2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 3. *Let* $f_0 = \frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}$, *an invariant function on* U. *Then the two-form* $i\partial\bar\partial f_0$ *is the Kähler form of the Candelas-de la Ossa's metric on* $U \setminus E$.

We denote this metric by $\omega_{co,0}$, abbreviated to CO-metric. In explicit form,

$$\omega_{co,0}|_{z=0} = (\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}\lambda_{1\bar 1} + 2/3\ (\mathbf{r}^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\lambda_{2\bar 2} + (\mathbf{r}^2)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\lambda_{3\bar 3}. \tag{2.5}$$

Our next step is to modify ω by gluing the CO-metric onto ω. For this, we need to select a cut off function $\chi(s)$.

Lemma 4. *There is a constant* C_1 *such that for sufficiently large* n, *we can find a smooth function* $\chi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *such that*

1. $\chi(s) = s$ *when* $s \in [0, 2^{\frac{4}{3}}]$;
2. $\chi'(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{11}{3}}$ *and* $2\chi'(s) + s\chi''(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{11}{3}}$ *when* $s \in [2^{\frac{4}{3}}, (n-1)^{\frac{4}{3}}]$;
3. $\chi'(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ *and* $2\chi'(s) + s\chi''(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ *when* $s \in [(n-1)^{\frac{4}{3}}, n^{\frac{4}{3}}]$;
4. χ *is constant when* $s \ge n^{\frac{4}{3}}$.

Proof. We first construct a C^2-function χ that satisfies the required properties. We let $c_1 = 2^{\frac{4}{3}}$; we define $\chi(s) = s$ for $s \in [0, c_1]$ and consider the cubic polynomial

$$\phi(s) = c_1 + (s - c_1) - (s - c_1)^3.$$

Our choice of ϕ makes χ and ϕ having identical derivatives up to second order at c_1. We then let c_2 to be the smallest element in $[c_1, \infty)$ so that $2\phi'(c_2) + c_2\phi''(c_2) = 0$. This way,

$$\phi'(s) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\phi'(s) + s\phi''(s) \ge 0, \text{ for } s \in [c_1, c_2].$$

We define $\chi(s) = \phi(s)$ for $s \in [c_1, c_2]$.

Next, we pick $c_3 = (n-1)^{\frac{4}{3}}$; notice that for n large, $c_3 > c_2$. We define χ over $[c_2, c_3]$ to be

$$\chi(s) = \chi(c_2) + c_2\chi'(c_2) - c_2^2\chi'(c_2)\cdot s^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\chi'(s) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\chi'(s) + s\chi''(s) = 0, \text{ for } s \in [c_2, c_3].$$

To extend χ to $[c_3, c_4]$ with $c_4 = n^{\frac{4}{3}}$, we will do the following. We let

$$\psi(s) = a_0 + a_1(s - c_3) + a_2(s - c_3)^2 + a_3(s - c_3)^3;$$

we choose a_i so that $\psi(c_3) = \chi'(c_3)$, $\psi'(c_3) = \chi''(c_3)$ and $\psi(c_4) = \psi'(c_4) = 0$. Solving explicitly and using $\tau = c_2^2\chi_2'(c_2)$, we get

$$a_0 = \tau c_3^{-2},\ a_1 = -2\tau c_3^{-3},\ a_2 = \frac{\tau(4c_4 - 7c_3)}{c_3^3(c_4 - c_3)^2},\ a_3 = \frac{2\tau(2c_3 - c_4)}{c_3^3(c_4 - c_3)^3}.$$

Using the explicit form of c_3 and c_4, we see that there is a constant C_1 independent of n so that for large n, $-C_1 n^{-\frac{10}{3}} \le a_2 < 0$ and $0 < a_3 \le C_1 n^{-\frac{11}{3}}$. Therefore, over $[c_3, c_4]$ we have

$$\psi(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{5}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad 2\psi(s) + s\psi'(s) \ge -C_1 n^{-\frac{5}{3}}.$$

With the function ψ at hand, we define

$$\chi(s)=\int_{c_3}^{s}\psi(\tau)d\tau+\chi(c_3),\ \ s\in[c_3,c_4],$$

and define χ be a constant function over $[c_4,\infty)$.

In the end, after a small perturbation of the function χ, we obtain a smooth function that satisfies the requirements stated. This proves the Lemma. $\square$

We now set $\mathbf{s}=n^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and continue to denote $f_0=\frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}$, both are functions of (z,u,v). Using the function χ, we construct a d-closed real $(2,2)$-form on Y:

$$\Phi=\frac{3}{2}\,i\partial\bar\partial\Big(n^{-\frac{4}{3}}\chi(\mathbf{s})(i\partial\bar\partial f_0)\Big);$$

expanding, it is of the form

$$\Phi=\chi'(\mathbf{s})(i\partial\bar\partial f_0)\wedge(i\partial\bar\partial f_0)+2/3\ n^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\chi''(\mathbf{s})(i\partial\mathbf{r}^2\wedge\bar\partial\mathbf{r}^2)\wedge(i\partial\bar\partial f_0).$$

Restricting Φ to $z=0$ in E, from (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}n^{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi|_{z=0}=&2/3\ \big(2\chi'(\mathbf{s})+\mathbf{s}\chi''(\mathbf{s})\big)\mathbf{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_{1\bar1}\wedge\lambda_{2\bar2}+2\chi'(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_{1\bar1}\wedge\lambda_{3\bar3}\\&+2/3\ \big(2\chi'(\mathbf{s})+\mathbf{s}\chi''(\mathbf{s})\big)\mathbf{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{r}^{-2}\lambda_{2\bar2}\wedge\lambda_{3\bar3}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4, this real $(2,2)$-form Φ has the following properties:
(1) over $U(\frac{2}{n})\setminus E$, $\Phi=\omega_{co,0}^2$ is positive;
(2) over $U(1)\setminus U(\frac{2}{n})$, there is a constant C_2 such that for sufficiently large n,

$$n^{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi|_{z=0}\geq -C_2n^{-1}\sum_{k\neq j}\lambda_{k\bar k}\wedge\lambda_{j\bar j};\tag{2.6}$$

(3) over $Y\setminus U(1)$, $\Phi\equiv 0$.

In conclusion, the real $(2,2)$-form Φ has compact support contained in U and thus can be considered as a global form on $Y\setminus E$.

Next we shall investigate the restriction of ω to U. We let $\iota:E\to Y$ be the inclusion and consider the restriction (pull back) $\omega|_E=\iota^*\omega$; it is a Kähler metric on E. With $\pi:U\to E$ the tautological projection induced by the bundle structure of $L^{\oplus 2}$, the form

$$\tilde\omega_E=\pi^*(\omega|_E)$$

is a closed semi-positive $(1,1)$-form on U.

Lemma 5. *There is a smooth function h of U such that*

$$\omega|_U=\tilde\omega_E+i\partial\bar\partial h.$$

Proof. Since $[\omega]=[\tilde\omega_E]\in H^2_{dR}(U,\mathbb{R})$, there exists a real 1-form α such that $\omega-\tilde\omega_E=d\alpha$. Since α is real, we can write $\alpha=\beta+\bar\beta$ for β a $(0,1)$-form. Therefore from

$$\omega-\tilde\omega_E=\partial\bar\beta+(\partial\beta+\bar\partial\bar\beta)+\bar\partial\beta,$$

we obtain $\bar\partial\beta = 0$. Finally, because $H^{0,1}_{\bar\partial}(U, \mathbb{C}) = 0$[2], we can find a function g on U such that $\beta = \bar\partial g$. Therefore for $h = -i(g - \bar g)$, $\omega - \tilde\omega_E = i\partial\bar\partial h$. $\square$

Since $i\partial\bar\partial h|_E = \iota^*(\omega - \tilde\omega_E) = 0$, the restriction $h|_E = \text{const.}$. Thus by subtracting a constant from h we can assume that $h|_E = 0$. Next, since the fiber $U_\xi = \pi^{-1}(\xi)$ over each $\xi \in E$ of the projection $\pi : U \to E$ is a disk in a linear space $\mathbb{C}^2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^4$, the restriction of $h|_{U_\xi}$ has a canonical Taylor series expansion in u, $\bar u$, v and $\bar v$. (Here we assume $\xi \in E - \infty$.) Since $h|_E \equiv 0$, the constant terms of these series are zero. On the other hand, since U is the disk bundle of $L^{\oplus 2}$, the linear parts of these Taylor series form a globally defined function on U that is $\mathbb{R}$-linear along the fibers of π. We denote this (fiberwise $\mathbb{R}$-linear) function by h_1 and write $h_2 = h - h_1$.

Using h_1, we now introduce another $(2,2)$-form. We pick a decreasing function $\sigma(s)$ that takes value 1 when $0 \le s \le 1$ and vanishes when $s \ge 4$. We set $\mathbf{t} = n^2\mathbf{r}^2$, a function of (z, u, v), and define a real $(2,2)$-form on Y:

$$\Psi = \omega^2 - i\partial\bar\partial\big(\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \cdot h_2 \cdot \big(2\tilde\omega_E + i\partial\bar\partial(2h_1 + h_2)\big)\big) - i\partial\bar\partial\big(\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \cdot h_1 \cdot i\partial\bar\partial h_1\big).$$

This form satisfies

$$d\Psi = 0, \qquad \Psi|_{Y \setminus U(\frac{2}{n})} = \omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi|_{U(\frac{1}{n})} = 0.$$

Here the first and second follows from the definitions of Ψ and $\sigma(\mathbf{t})$; the third follows from $i\partial\bar\partial h_1 \wedge \tilde\omega_E = 0$.

We now add a multiple of the compactly supported form Φ to Ψ:

$$\Omega_0 = \Psi + C_0 n^{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi, \quad C_0 > 0.$$

We emphasize that the form Ω_0 depends on the constant C_0 and the integer n. We shall specify their choices later.

Lemma 6. *The real $(2,2)$-form Ω_0 is d-closed, has the form $\Omega_0 = C_0 n^{\frac{2}{3}} \omega^2_{co,0}$ when restricted to $U(\frac{1}{n}) \setminus E$, and has the form $\Omega_0 = \omega^2$ over $Y \setminus U$.*

Further, for sufficiently large C_0 we can find an $n(C_0)$ such that for $n \ge n(C_0)$, the form Ω_0 is positive.

Proof. Because Φ and Ψ are both d-closed, Ω_0 is d-closed too. By the definitions of Φ and Ψ, we know that

$$\Omega_0|_{X \setminus U} = \Psi|_{X \setminus U} = \omega^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_0|_{U(\frac{1}{n})} = C_0 n^{\frac{2}{3}} \Phi|_{U(\frac{1}{n})} = C_0 n^{\frac{2}{3}} \omega^2_{co,0}$$

both are positive. So we only need to check the positivity of Ω_0 over $U \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})$. We first look at the region $U(\frac{2}{n}) \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})$. Within this region,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi =& (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{t}))\omega^2 - i\big(h_1 \partial\bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) + \partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar\partial h_1 + \partial h_1 \wedge \bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t})\big) \wedge i\partial\bar\partial h_1 \\ & - i\big(h_2 \partial\bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) + \partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar\partial h_2 + \partial h_2 \wedge \bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t})\big) \wedge \big(2\tilde\omega_E + i\partial\bar\partial(2h_1 + h_2)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $1 - \sigma(\mathbf{t}) \ge 0$, the first term is non-negative. For the other two terms, because E is covered by $D = \{|z| \le 2\}$ and $D' = \{|z| \ge 1\}$, we only need to investigate the positivity over D and D' separately. Because the discussion is similar, we shall deal with D now.

---

[2] By Dolbeault theorem, this is equivalent to the vanishing of the Cěch cohomology $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}) = 0$. The vanishing of the later follows from $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O} = 0$ and $H^1(E, \pi_*\mathcal{O}) = 0$, where the later follows from that E has normal bundle $(-1, -1)$ in U.

To begin with, for a small δ we consider $V_\delta = \pi^{-1}(D) \cap U(\delta)$. Over V_δ, the second term in (2.7) is

$$-i\big(h_1\partial\bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) + \partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar\partial h_1 + \partial h_1 \wedge \bar\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t})\big) \wedge i\partial\bar\partial h_1,$$

which, after expanding, becomes

$$-n^2 h_1\big(\sigma' i\partial\bar\partial\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{t}\sigma'' r^{-2} i\partial\mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar\partial\mathbf{r}^2\big) \wedge i\partial\bar\partial h_1 - \mathbf{t}\sigma'\mathbf{r}^{-2}(i\partial\mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar\partial h_1 + i\partial h_1 \wedge \bar\partial\mathbf{r}^2) \wedge i\partial\bar\partial h_1.$$

Over the same region, we expand the relevant terms:

$$\partial\mathbf{r}^2 = \Gamma^{-2}\mathbf{r}^2\bar z\lambda_1 + \Gamma\mathbf{r}\lambda_2$$

for $\Gamma \triangleq (1+ \mid z \mid^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and

$$i\partial\bar\partial\mathbf{r}^2 = \Gamma^{-2}\mathbf{r}^2\lambda_{1\bar1} + \Gamma^2(\lambda_{2\bar2} + \lambda_{3\bar3}) + \Gamma^{-1}\mathbf{r}(\bar z\lambda_{1\bar2} + z\lambda_{2\bar1}).$$

For simplicity, we introduce more notations. For h_1, we write $\mathbf{a} = h_u(z,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{b} = h_v(z,0,0)$, both are smooth functions of z; we then write the fiberwise linear function $h_1 = \mathbf{a}u + \bar{\mathbf{a}}\bar u + \mathbf{b}v + \bar{\mathbf{b}}\bar v$. For the partial derivatives of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, we shall adopt the convention $a_z = \frac{\partial\mathbf{a}}{\partial z}$ and $b_{z\bar z} = \frac{\partial^2\mathbf{b}}{\partial z\partial\bar z}$; namely, we use subindex z and $\bar z$ to denote the partial derivatives with respect to z and $\bar z$. We further introduce

$$c_{1\bar1} = 2\mathrm{Re}\,\Big(\frac{a_{z\bar z}u + b_{z\bar z}v}{\mathbf{r}}\Big), \quad c_{2\bar1} = \overline{c_{1\bar2}} = \Gamma\cdot\frac{a_{\bar z}u + b_{\bar z}v}{\mathbf{r}}, \quad c_{3\bar1} = \overline{c_{1\bar3}} = \Gamma\cdot\frac{a_{\bar z}\bar v - b_{\bar z}\bar u}{\mathbf{r}},$$

$$d_{1\bar2} = \frac{a_z u + b_z v + \overline{a_{\bar z}}\bar u + \overline{b_{\bar z}}\bar v}{\mathbf{r}}, \quad d_{2\bar2} = \Gamma\cdot\frac{\mathbf{a}u + \mathbf{b}v}{\mathbf{r}}, \quad d_{3\bar2} = \Gamma\cdot\frac{\mathbf{a}\bar v - \mathbf{b}\bar u}{\mathbf{r}},$$

where Re is the real part. Following such convention, we have

$$\partial h_1 = \mathbf{r}d_{1\bar2}\lambda_1 + d_{2\bar2}\lambda_2 + d_{3\bar2}\lambda_3$$

and

$$i\partial\bar\partial h_1 = \mathbf{r}c_{1\bar1}\lambda_{1\bar1} + c_{2\bar1}\lambda_{2\bar1} + c_{1\bar2}\lambda_{1\bar2} + c_{3\bar1}\lambda_{3\bar1} + c_{1\bar3}\lambda_{1\bar3}.$$

To simply further, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1\bar2} =& \overline{\alpha_{2\bar1}} = -nh_1\sigma'\Gamma^2 c_{2\bar1} + \mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma'\Gamma c_{3\bar1}\overline{d_{3\bar2}};\\
\alpha_{2\bar2} =& -nh_1\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma'\Gamma^2 c_{1\bar1} + 2\mathbf{t}\sigma'\Gamma^{-2}\mathrm{Re}(zc_{1\bar3}d_{3\bar2});\\
\alpha_{2\bar3} =& \overline{\alpha_{3\bar2}} = nh_1\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma' + \mathbf{t}\sigma'')\Gamma^{-1}\bar z c_{3\bar1} + \mathbf{t}\sigma'\Gamma^{-2}\big(\bar z c_{3\bar1}\overline{d_{2\bar2}} + zc_{1\bar2}d_{3\bar2}\big)\\
&+ \mathbf{t}\sigma'\Gamma(c_{3\bar1}d_{1\bar2} - c_{1\bar1}d_{3\bar2});\\
\alpha_{1\bar3} =& \overline{\alpha_{3\bar1}} = -nh_1(\sigma' + \mathbf{t}\sigma'')\Gamma^2 c_{3\bar1} - \mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma'\Gamma(2c_{3\bar1}\mathrm{Re}d_{2\bar2} - c_{2\bar1}d_{3\bar2});\\
\alpha_{3\bar3} =& -nh_1\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sigma' + \mathbf{t}\sigma'')\big(\Gamma^2 c_{1\bar1} - 2\Gamma^{-1}\mathrm{Re}(zc_{1\bar2})\big)\\
&- 2\mathbf{t}\sigma'\Gamma\big(c_{1\bar1}\mathrm{Re}d_{2\bar2} - \mathrm{Re}(c_{2\bar1}d_{1\bar2}) - \Gamma^{-3}\mathrm{Re}(zc_{1\bar2}d_{2\bar2})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Because for $\mathbf{r}$ small, $|u|, |v| \leq 2\mathbf{r}$, we can find a constant depend on δ so that

$$|c_{i\bar j}|,\ |d_{i\bar j}| \leq C_3 \quad \text{for } (z,u,v) \in V_\delta.$$

To control the terms $\alpha_{i\bar{j}}$, we need to bound the term nh_1. For this, since $n^{-1} \leq \mathbf{r} < 2n^{-1}$ over $U(\frac{2}{n}) \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})$, the term nh_1 is bounded from above uniformly over $V_\delta \cap \big(U(\frac{2}{n}) \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})\big)$ for $n > \frac{2}{\delta}$. Therefore, enlarge C_3 if necessary and for $n > \frac{2}{\delta}$, we have

$$|\alpha_{i\bar{j}}| \leq C_3, \quad (z, u, v) \in V_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]} \triangleq \pi^{-1}(D) \cap \big(U(2/n) \setminus U(1/n)\big).$$

Finally, we introduce $\Lambda_{i\bar{j}}$

$$\Lambda_{i\bar{j}} = (i\lambda_k \wedge \lambda_{\bar{k}}) \wedge (i\lambda_{l_1} \wedge \lambda_{\bar{l}_2}) = \lambda_{k\bar{k}} \wedge \lambda_{l_1\bar{l}_2} \ \text{ for } \ \{i, k, l_1\} = \{j, k, l_2\} = \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Simplifying it using the notations introduced, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\big(h_1\partial\bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t})\partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar{\partial}h_1 + \partial h_1 \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t})\big) \wedge i\partial\bar{\partial}h_1 \\ & = n \sum_{l=2,3} (\alpha_{1\bar{l}}\Lambda_{1\bar{l}} + \alpha_{l\bar{1}}\Lambda_{l\bar{1}}) + \sum_{k,l=2,3} \alpha_{k\bar{l}}\Lambda_{k\bar{l}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We now look at the third term in (2.7). This time we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & -i(h_2\partial\bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t}) + \partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar{\partial}h_2 + \partial h_2 \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t}))|_{V_\delta} \\ = & -\mathbf{r}^{-2}h_2(\mathbf{t}\sigma' i\partial\bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}^2 - \mathbf{t}^2\sigma''\mathbf{r}^{-2}i\partial\mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}^2) - \mathbf{t}\sigma'\mathbf{r}^{-2}(\partial\mathbf{r}^2 \wedge \bar{\partial}h_2 + \partial h_2 \wedge \bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since restricting to E the partial derivatives of h_2 with respect to u and v are zero, when $\mathbf{r}$ is small, $|h_2| = O(\mathbf{r}^2)$ and $|\partial h_2| = O(\mathbf{r})$. Also notice that the mixed term such as $\lambda_{2\bar{3}}$ can be controlled by $\lambda_{2\bar{2}}$ and $\lambda_{3\bar{3}}$. Therefore for $n > \frac{2}{\delta}$, over $V_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}$ we have

$$C_3 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k\bar{k}} \geq -i(h_2\partial\bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t}) + \partial\sigma(\mathbf{t}) \wedge \bar{\partial}h_2 + \partial h_2 \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma(\mathbf{t})) \geq -C_3 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k\bar{k}}.$$

Therefore the third term in (2.7) can be controlled by $-C_3 \sum_k \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}$. Inserting this and (2.8) into (2.7), we get

$$\Psi \geq n \sum_{l=2,3} (\alpha_{1\bar{l}}\Lambda_{1\bar{l}} + \alpha_{l\bar{1}}\Lambda_{l\bar{1}}) + \sum_{k,l=2,3} \alpha_{k\bar{l}}\Lambda_{k\bar{l}} - C_3 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \Lambda_{k\bar{k}}.$$

On the other hand by a directly calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi|_{V_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}} = & 4/3 \ \mathbf{t}^{-\frac{2}{3}}\Gamma^4 n^2\Lambda_{1\bar{1}} + 4/3 \ \mathbf{t}^{-\frac{1}{6}} n\Gamma\bar{z}\Lambda_{2\bar{1}} + 4/3 \ \mathbf{t}^{-\frac{1}{6}} n\Gamma z\Lambda_{1\bar{2}} \\ & + 2\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{3}}(1 - 3^{-1}\Gamma^{-2}|z|^2)\Lambda_{2\bar{2}} + 4/3 \ \mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{3}}(1 - \Gamma^{-2}|z|^2)\Lambda_{3\bar{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining above two, over $V_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}$ we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 \geq & \Big(\frac{4\Gamma^4 n^2}{3\mathbf{t}^{\frac{2}{3}}}C_0 - C_3\Big)\Lambda_{1\bar{1}} + n\Big(\frac{4\Gamma z}{3\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{6}}}C_0 + \alpha_{1\bar{2}}\Big)\Lambda_{1\bar{2}} + n\alpha_{1\bar{3}}\Lambda_{1\bar{3}} \\ & + n\Big(\frac{4\Gamma\bar{z}}{3\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{6}}}C_0 + \alpha_{2\bar{1}}\Big)\Lambda_{2\bar{1}} + \Big(2\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{3}}(1 - \frac{|z|^2}{3\Gamma^2})C_0 - C_3 + \alpha_{2\bar{2}}\Big)\Lambda_{2\bar{2}} + \alpha_{2\bar{3}}\Lambda_{2\bar{3}} \\ & + n\alpha_{3\bar{1}}\Lambda_{3\bar{1}} + \alpha_{3\bar{2}}\Lambda_{3\bar{2}} + \Big(\frac{4}{3}\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{3}}(1 - \frac{|z|^2}{\Gamma^2})C_0 - C_3 + \alpha_{3\bar{3}}\Big)\Lambda_{3\bar{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that we can find a sufficiently large constant C_0 so that for any $n > \frac{2}{\delta}$, the right hand side of the above inequality is positive. We let e_{ij} be the coefficient of the term $\Lambda_{i\bar{j}}$ in the above inequality. To prove the mentioned positivity, we only need to check that under the stated constraint, the three minors of the 3×3 matrix $[e_{ij}]$ are positive:

$$e_{11} > 0, \quad \det[e_{ij}]_{1 \le i,j \le 2} > 0, \quad \det[e_{ij}]_{3\times 3} > 0.$$

We recall that $\mathbf{t} = n^2\mathbf{r}^2$ and $\Gamma = (1+|z|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So in the region $V_{[\frac{1}{n},\frac{2}{n}]}$, $1 \le \mathbf{t} < 2$ and $1 \le \Gamma \le \sqrt{5}$. Therefore by expanding the determinants, we see immediately that they are all positive for n positive and C_0 large enough. We fix such a C_0 in the definition of Ω_0. Therefore, for any $n > \frac{2}{\delta}$, the form Ω_0 is positive in the region $U(\frac{2}{n}) \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})$.

It remains to consider the region $U \setminus U(\frac{2}{n})$. Over this region, we shall prove that Ω_0 is positive when n is large enough. For this purpose, we will use the smooth homogenous Candelas-de la Ossa's metric [4] on U:

$$\omega_{co} = i\partial\bar{\partial} f(\mathbf{r}^2) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1+|z|^2), \tag{2.9}$$

where f is defined via $f' = \mathbf{r}^{-2}\eta$ for $\eta^2(\eta + 3/2) = \mathbf{r}^4$. Explicitly,

$$\omega_{co}|_{z=0} = (\eta+1)\lambda_{1\bar{1}} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{(\eta+\frac{3}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta+1}\lambda_{2\bar{2}} + \frac{1}{(\eta+\frac{3}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\lambda_{3\bar{3}}. \tag{2.10}$$

By simple estimate,

$$\omega_{co}^2|_{z=0} \ge \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k\ne j}\lambda_{k\bar{k}} \wedge \lambda_{j\bar{j}}$$

Comparing with (2.6), since both ω_{co}^2 and Φ are homogeneous, over $U \setminus U(\frac{2}{n})$ we get

$$n^{\frac{2}{3}}\Phi \ge -3C_2 n^{-1}\omega_{co}^2.$$

Therefore, over $U \setminus U(\frac{2}{n})$,

$$\Omega_0 \ge \omega^2 - 3C_0C_2n^{-1}\omega_{co}^2.$$

This proves that for the fixed C_0 and C_2, we can choose n big enough so that the real $(2,2)$-form Ω_0 is positive over $U \setminus U(\frac{2}{n})$. This proves the lemma. ☐

The closed $(2,2)$-form Ω_0 is positive $(2,2)$-form on $Y \setminus E$. From [19], there is a positive $(1,1)$-form ω_0 on $Y \setminus E$ such that $\omega_0^2 = \Omega_0$. This proves

Proposition 7. *Let Y be a Calabi-Yau manifold and ω its Kähler metric. Let $E \subset Y$ be a $(-1,-1)$-curve in Y. For the open subset $E \subset U \subset Y$ chosen and for sufficiently large C_0 and n, we can find a balanced metric ω_0 over $Y \setminus E$ such that $\omega_0 = \omega$ over $Y \setminus U(1)$; that $\omega_0 = C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{3}}\omega_{co,0}$ over $U(\frac{1}{n}) \setminus E$, and that ω_0^2 is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-exact over $U(1) \setminus U(\frac{1}{n})$.*

Corollary 8. *Let Y be a Calabi-Yau manifold and ω its Kähler metric. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset Y$ be a union of mutually disjoint $(-1,-1)$-curves $E_i \subset Y$. For each $E_i \subset Y$ we choose an open neighborhood $E_i \subset U_i \subset Y$ as given by Proposition 7, and we let $\mathcal{U}$ be the union of U_i. Then the Proposition 7 holds true.*

Proof. Since the proof of Lemma 6 is by modifying ω^2 within the open neighborhood $E \subset U \subset Y$, if we choose $E_i \subset U_i \subset Y$ to be mutually disjoint, then we can modify ω^2 over the union $\mathcal{U}$ of U_i to obtain the desired metric ω_0. Note that from the proof of Lemma 6, we can choose a common n and C_0 for all i. □

Because $Y - \mathcal{E} = X_{0,sm}$, the smooth part of X_0, the metric ω_0 descends to a smooth balance metric on $X_{0,sm}$ that is equivalent to the Candelas-de la Ossa's metric near the singular points of X_0.

3. CONSTRUCTING BALANCED METRICS ON THE SMOOTHINGS

Assuming the threefold X_0 can be smoothed to a family of smooth Calabi-Yau threefolds X_t, in this section we shall show that we can deform the metric ω_0 to a family of smooth balanced metrics ω_t on X_t.

Definition 9. *We say X_t is a smoothing of X_0 if there is a smooth four dimensional complex manifold $\mathcal{X}$ and a holomorphic projection $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ to the unit disk Δ in $\mathbb{C}$ so that the general fibers $X_t = \mathcal{X} \times_\Delta t$ are smooth and the central fiber $\mathcal{X} \times_\Delta 0$ is the X_0 we begin with.*

From now on, we assume that X_t is a smoothing of X_0 as defined with $\mathcal{X}$ the total space of the smoothing. We let ω_0 be the balanced metric on $X_{0,sm}$ constructed in the previous section.

We begin with the local geometry of $\mathcal{X}$ near a singular point of X_0. Let $p \in X_0$ be any singular point that is the contraction of $E = \pi^{-1}(p)$. Since X_0 is a contraction of $(-1,-1)$-curves in Y, from the classification of singularities of threefolds, a neighborhood of p in the total family $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ is isomorphic to a neighborhood of 0 in

$$w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2 + w_4^2 - t = 0 \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{C}^4 \times \Delta),$$

as a family over $t \in \Delta$. More precisely, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for

$$\tilde{U} = \{(w,t) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \times \Delta_\epsilon : \| w \| < 1,\, w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2 + w_4^2 - t = 0\}^3,$$

there is a holomorphic map

$$\Phi : \tilde{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

commuting with the projections $\tilde{U} \to \Delta_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ so that $U = \Phi(\tilde{U})$ is an open neighborhood of $p \in \mathcal{X}$ and Φ induces an isomorphism from $\tilde{U}$ to $U \subset \mathcal{X}$.

We fix such an isomorphism Φ; we denote by $\tilde{U}_t$ the fiber of $\tilde{U}$ over $t \in \Delta_\epsilon$, and denote by $U_t = \Phi(\tilde{U}_t)$ that is the open subset $X_t \cap U$. For any $1 > c > 0$, we let

$$\tilde{U}(c) = \{(w,t) \in \tilde{U} \mid \| w \| < c\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_t(c) = \Phi(\tilde{U}(c)) \cap X_t.$$

---

[3] As usual, $\| w \|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 |w_i|^2$.

This way, for fixed t, $U_t(c)$ forms an increasing sequence of open subsets of X_t and the variables $(w_1,\cdots,w_4)$ can be viewed as coordinate functions with the constraint $\sum w_i^2=t$ understood.

In case $t=0$, we can choose Φ so that the $(w_1,\cdots,w_4)$ relates to the coordinate (z,u,v) of (2.2) by

$$w_1=R(v-zu),\ w_2=-iR(v+zu),\ w_3=-iR(u-zv),\ w_4=R(u+zv)$$

for a constant R to be determined momentarily. Hence under Φ the function $\mathbf{r}$ introduced in Section 2 coincides with the function $R^{-1}r(w,0)$ with $r(w,0)=\| w\|$. We then define $\mathbf{r}$ on U to be $\mathbf{r}=r\circ\Phi^{-1}$; they are extensions of the similarly denoted $\mathbf{r}$ on X_0 used in the previous section. Also, the punctured opens $U_0(c)^*=U_0(c)-p$ are isomorphic to the opens $U(c)-E$ used in the previous section under Φ as well. Since we need to work with different fibers X_t simultaneously, we shall reserve the subscript $U_t(c)$ to denote open subsets in X_t.

We now choose R. By choosing R large and rescaling ω_0, we can assume that for $f_0=\frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}$

$$\Omega_0|_{U_0(1)}=\omega_0^2|_{U_0(1)}=i\partial\bar\partial(f_0\cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_0). \tag{3.1}$$

Here since f_0 is understood as a function on X_0, the partials ∂ and $\bar\partial$ are holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differentials of f_0 over X_0.

One more convention we need to introduce before we move on. Note that X_0 has several singular points, say $p_1,\cdots,p_l$, corresponding to contracting $E_i\subset Y$. For each such p_i, we will go through the same procedure as we did for a general singular $p\in X_0$ moments earlier to pick an open $p_i\in U\subset\mathcal{X}$, an isomorphism $\Phi:\tilde U\to\Phi(\tilde U)\subset\mathcal{X}$ and the open subsets $U_t(c)\subset X_t$, etc. In fixing these Φ for various p_i, since we can choose a common C_0 and n for all $i\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$ in corollary 8, we can pick a single large enough R that works for all $p_i\in U$ so that (3.1) holds over U.

We then form $V\subset\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $V(c)\subset\mathcal{X}$) that is the union of all these open subsets $U\subset\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $U(c)\subset\mathcal{X}$), one for each $p_i\in X_0$. Accordingly, we let $V_t=V\cap X_t$, let $V_t(c)=V(c)\cap X_t$, and let $\mathbf{r}$ be the function on V whose restriction to each $p_i\in U\subset V$ is the $\mathbf{r}=r\circ\Phi^{-1}$ defined moment earlier. The particular property we shall use is that

$$\Omega_0|_{V_0(1)}=\omega_0^2|_{V_0(1)}=i\partial\bar\partial(f_0\cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_0). \tag{3.2}$$

With these preparations, we now study the deformation X_t away from the singular points $p_i\in X_0$. For $c\in(0,1]$, we introduce

$$X_t[c]=X_t\setminus V_t(c).$$

For small t, $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$ are diffeomorphic to each other. We fix diffeomorphisms $x_t: X_t[\frac{1}{2}]\to X_0[\frac{1}{2}]$ that depend smoothly on t and $x_0=id$. The diffeomorphisms x_t pulls back the form on $X_0[\frac{1}{2}]$ to forms on $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$.

We then let $\varrho(s)$ be a (decreasing) cut-off function such that $\varrho(s)=1$ when $s\le\frac{5}{8}$ and $\varrho(s)=0$ when $s\ge\frac{7}{8}$. This function define a cut off function ϱ_0 on X_0 by rule

$\varrho_0|_{X_0[1]} = 0$, $\varrho_0|_{V_0(\frac{1}{2})} = 1$ and $\varrho_0|_{V_0(1)\setminus V_0(\frac{1}{2})} = \varrho(\mathbf{r})$. Then

$$\Omega_0 - i\partial\bar\partial\big(\varrho_0 \cdot f_0 \cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_0\big)$$

is a smooth $(2,2)$-form on X_0 with compact support lies inside $X_0[\frac{1}{2}]$. In particular, for small t,

$$x_t^*\big(\Omega_0 - i\partial\bar\partial(\varrho_0 \cdot f_0 \cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_0)\big)$$

is a form on $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$ with compact support lies in it. So we can view this form as the form defined on X_t by defining 0 in $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$.

In order to construct a positive $(2,2)$-form on X_t, we need to extend the function $f_0(\mathbf{r}^2) = \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}}$ defined in Definition 3. For $t \neq 0 \in \triangle_\epsilon$, we define $f_t(s)$ be the function

$$f_t(s) = 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}|t|^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_0^{\cosh^{-1}(\frac{s}{|t|})} (\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau)^{\frac{1}{3}} d\tau, \quad s \in (0,1). \tag{3.3}$$

The functions f_t give the Candelas-de la Ossa's metrics (CO-metric)

Definition 10. *The two form $\omega_{co,t} = i\partial\bar\partial f_t(\mathbf{r}^2)$ is the Ricci-flat Kähler form on $V_t(1)$ constructed by Candelas and de la Ossa.*

Here we clarify our convention on ∂ and $\bar\partial$ over X_t. In the following, we shall take holomorphic or anti-holomorphic differentials of functions on X_t for either $t \neq 0$ or $t = 0$. To keep the notation simple, we shall use the same ∂f and $\bar\partial f$ to mean the differentials of f on either X_0 or X_t, depending on whether f is a function on X_0 or X_t. We shall specifically comment on this in case there are causes for confusion.

For later application, we need to confirm the smooth dependence of the metrics $\omega_{oc,t}$ on t. We denote by $f_t^{(k)}(s)$ the k-th derivative in s of $f_t(s)$.

Lemma 11. *Let $f_0(s) = \frac{3}{2}s^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then*
(1). for any $\delta > 0$ and k, restricting to $s \in [\delta, 1]$ the functions $f_t^{(k)}(s)$ converges uniformly to $f_0^{(k)}(s)$ when t goes to zero;
(2). For any pair $0 < \delta' < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$, there exists a $\alpha_{\delta'}$ such that when $\mid t \mid < \alpha_{\delta'}$ and $s \in [\delta', \delta]$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{f_t'(s)}{f_0'(s)} \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{f_t''(s)}{f_0''(s)} \leq 2$.

Proof. Since the dependence on $t \in \Delta_\epsilon$ is via its norm, we shall substitute $|t|$ by the positive real variable u and define $f_u(s)$ as in (3.3) with t replaced by $u > 0$.

At first we consider the convergence of $f_u(s)$. By L'Hospital rule, we compute from (3.3):

$$\lim_{u\to 0} f_u(s) = \frac{3}{2}s^{\frac{2}{3}} \lim_{u\to 0} g_u(s),$$

where $g_u(s)$ was defined as

$$g_u(s) = \frac{\big((1-\frac{u^2}{s^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{u^2}{s^2}\cosh^{-1}(\frac{s}{u})\big)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\big(1-\frac{u^2}{s^2}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since $\frac{u^2}{s^2}\cosh^{-1}(\frac{s}{u}) \sim \frac{u^2}{s^2}|\ln u|$ when $s \in [\delta, 1)$, $g_u(s)$ converges uniformly to 1 in $[\delta, 1)$ and so $f_u(s)$ converges uniformly to $f_0(s) = \frac{3}{2}s^{\frac{2}{3}}$.

Next we consider the first derivative. By (3.3), we compute

$$f_u'(s) = s^{-\frac{1}{3}} g_u(s).$$

So $f_u'(s)$ converges uniformly to $f_0'(s) = s^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ in $[\delta, 1)$.

As to the second derivative, by directly computation, we have

$$f_u''(s) = \big(-s^{-1} f_u'(s) + \frac{2}{3} s^{-2} (f_u'(s))^{-2}\big)\big(1 - \frac{u^2}{s^2}\big)^{-1}.$$

It converges uniformly to $f_0''(s) = -\frac{1}{3} s^{-\frac{4}{3}}$.

Since for any $k > 0$, the k-th derivative of $(1 - \frac{u^2}{s^2})^{-1}$ in s is converges uniformly to the zero function over $s \in [\delta, 1)$, applying induction proves the remainder cases of (1).

The second part of the Lemma follows form the explicit expressions of $f_u'(s)$ and $f_u''(s)$. This proves the Lemma. $\square$

Our next step is to deform Ω_0 to nearby fibers so that near the singular point it deforms as the CO-metrics $\omega_{co,t}$. To this end, we define

$$\varrho_t = \begin{cases} x_t^* \varrho_0 & \text{when on } X_t[\frac{1}{2}], \\ 1 & \text{when in } V_t(\frac{1}{2}); \end{cases}$$

and define

$$\Phi_t = x_t^*\big(\Omega_0 - i\partial\bar\partial(\varrho_0 \cdot f_0(\mathbf{r}^2) \cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_0(\mathbf{r}^2))\big) + i\partial\bar\partial\big(\varrho_t \cdot f_t(\mathbf{r}^2) \cdot i\partial\bar\partial f_t(\mathbf{r}^2)\big). \tag{3.4}$$

It is well-defined and is a d-closed 4-form on X_t. Since X_t is a complex manifold, Φ_t decomposes

$$\Phi_t = \Phi_t^{3,1} + \Phi_t^{2,2} + \Phi_t^{1,3}.$$

We claim that for t sufficiently small, $\Phi_t^{2,2}$ is positive definite. Indeed, over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, the first term in (3.4) is trivial, thus

$$\Phi_t^{2,2}|_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} = \Phi_t|_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} = \omega_{co,t}^2,$$

which is positive. Over $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$, we argue that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \Phi_t|_{X_t[\frac{1}{2}]} = \Omega_0|_{X_0[\frac{1}{2}]} \tag{3.5}$$

uniformly. From the expression of Φ_t it is clear that Φ_t only involves $f_u(s)$ and its derivatives up to second order. Hence by (1) of the previous Lemma, we see that over $V_t(1) \setminus V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, $f_t(\mathbf{r})$ and its partial derivatives up to second order all converges uniformly to that of $f_0(\mathbf{r})$. Hence since $X_0[\frac{1}{2}]$ is compact and is disjoint from the singular points, we have that the limit holds uniformly. In the end, since the part $\Phi_t^{3,1}$ and $\Phi_t^{1,3}$ are trivial over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$ and that the complex structure of X_t varies smoothly in t, the part $\Phi_t^{1,3}$ and $\Phi_t^{3,1}$ converges to zero uniformly as $t \to 0$. This proves that limit (3.5) converges uniformly. Consequently, for sufficiently small ϵ, $\Phi_t^{2,2}$ is positive on $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$ for $|t| < \epsilon$. Combined with the positivity of $\Phi_t^{2,2}$ over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, we obtained the desired positivity of $\Phi_t^{2,2}$ for t sufficiently small.

We let ω_t be the hermitian form on X_t such that $(\omega_t)^2 = \Phi_t^{2,2}$. Note that for small t, these metrics have uniform geometry on $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$ and are Kähler over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$.

In the following we will use ω_t as our background metric on X_t. Therefore objects such as norms and volume forms on X_t are all taken with respect to ω_t.

Recall that our goal is to find balanced metrics on X_t. We shall achieve this by modifying the form $\Phi_t^{2,2}$ to make it both closed and positive definite.

Since Φ_t is d-closed on X_t,

$$\bar\partial \Phi_t^{2,2} = -\partial \Phi_t^{1,3}.$$

We claim that for sufficiently small t, $H^{1,3}(X_t, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. Indeed, by Dolbeault theorm and Serre duality, $H^{1,3}(X_t, \mathbb{C}) = H^3(X_t, T^*_{X_t}) = H^0(X_t, T_{X_t})$. Thus to prove the vanishing of $H^{1,3}$ we suffice to prove that $H^0(T_{X_t}) = 0$ for sufficiently small t.

We now prove the vanishing of vector fields of X_t for sufficiently small t. Let Δ be a small disk in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\pi : X_\Delta \to \Delta$ be the total family of threefolds X_t for $t \in \Delta$. We form the relative tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta}$, which is defined by the the exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta} \longrightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{T}_\Delta,$$

where the third arrow is induced by the projection $X_\Delta \to \Delta$. Since X_Δ is smooth, the middle term is locally free and the third arrow is surjective away from the singular points of X_0. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta}$ is flat over Δ and $\mathcal{T}_{X_0} = \mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta}|_{X_0}$ is torsion free. Now suppose for infinitely many $t \in \Delta$ the threefold X_t has non-trivial vector fields; then the direct image sheaf $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta} \neq 0$. By the flatness of $\mathcal{T}_{X_\Delta/\Delta}$, $H^0(\mathcal{T}_{X_0}) \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{X_0}$ is torsion free, $H^0(T_{X_{0,sm}}) \neq 0$. (Here $X_{0,sm}$ is the smooth loci of X_0.) Now let $E \subset Y$ be the contracted rational curves under the projection $Y \to X_0$, namely $Y - E = X_{0,sm}$, then $H^0(T_{Y-E}) = H^0(T_{X_{0,sm}}) \neq 0$. But then since Y is smooth and $E \subset Y$ is a codimension 2 complex submanifold, by Hartogs Lemma, any section in $H^0(T_{Y-E})$ extends to a section in $H^0(T_Y)$. Since Y is Kahler, all vector fields of Y are trivial vector fields. This proves that $H^0(T_{X_{0,sm}}) = 0$, a contradiction that ensures that for sufficiently small t, $H^0(T_{X_t}) = 0$.

Therefore there are $(1,2)$-forms ν_t on X_t such that $\bar\partial \nu_t = -\Phi_t^{1,3}$. We let μ_t be a $(1,2)$-form on X_t such that

$$i\partial\bar\partial \mu_t = -\partial \Phi_t^{1,3} = \bar\partial \Phi_t^{2,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_t \perp_{\omega_t} \ker \partial\bar\partial. \tag{3.6}$$

We then define

$$\Omega_t = \Phi_t^{2,2} + \theta_t + \bar\theta_t, \quad \theta_t = i\partial\mu_t. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore (3.6) implies

$$\bar\partial \Omega_t = \bar\partial \Phi_t^{2,2} + \bar\partial(i\partial\mu_t) + \bar\partial(-i\bar\partial\bar\mu_t) = 0,$$

and since Ω_t is real, Ω_t is d-closed.

The main technical result of this section is

Proposition 12. *For sufficiently small t, Ω_t is positive.*

Once this is proved, then the hermitian form $\tilde\omega_t$ defined via $(\tilde\omega_t)^2 = \Omega_t$ is a balanced metric on X_t.

To prove the Proposition, we first show

Lemma 13. *Suppose* $\lim_{t\to 0}\|\theta_t\|_{C^0}=0$*, then* Ω_t *is positive for small* t*.*

Proof. We let $*_t$ be the hodge operator associated to the hermitian metric ω_t. Then

$$*_t\Omega_t=\omega_t+*_t(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)$$

and Ω_t is positive if $\omega_t+*_t(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)$ is positive.

Now let q_t be any closed point of X_t and let (z_i) be a local chart of X_t at q_t so that

$$\omega_t(q_t)=\sqrt{-1}\delta_{ij}dz_i\wedge d\bar z_j \quad\text{and}\quad *_t(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)(q_t)=\vartheta=\sqrt{-1}\vartheta_{i\bar j}dz_i\wedge d\bar z_j.$$

Thus $\omega_t+*_t(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)$ is positive at q_t if and only if the matrix $\big(\delta_{ij}+\vartheta_{i\bar j}\big)_{1\le i,j\le 3}$ is positive. Since $\omega_t(q_t)=\sqrt{-1}\delta_{ij}dz_i\wedge d\bar z_j$,

$$\sum_{k,l}|\vartheta_{k\bar l}|^2=|*_t(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)(q_t)|^2=|(\theta_t+\bar\theta_t)(q_t)|^2\le 4|\theta(q_t)|^2.$$

Thus if $|\theta(q_t)|^2$ is small, the matrix $\big(\delta_{ij}+\bar\vartheta_{i\bar j}\big)$ is positive. In particular, if the C^0-norm $\|\theta_t\|_{C^0}$ is small, the form $*_t\Omega_t$, and hence the form Ω_t, is positive. □

So we only need to prove that $\lim_{t\to 0}\|\theta_t\|^2_{C^0}=0$. In the next proposition, we will prove that $\lim_{t\to 0}t^\kappa\|\theta_t\|^2_{C^0}=0$ for any $\kappa>-\frac{4}{3}$.

To estimate θ_t, we use the 4th-order differential operator E_t (first introduced in [14]) on $\Lambda^{2,3}(X_t)$:

$$E_t=\partial\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*+\partial^*\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial+\partial^*\partial.$$

Here the adjoint is defined using the hermitian metric ω_t on X_t. In [14], Kodaira and Spencer proved that E_t are self-adjoint, strongly elliptic of order 4, and a form $\phi\in\Omega^{2,3}(X_t)$ satisfying $E_t\phi=0$ if and only if

$$\partial\phi=0\quad\text{and}\quad\bar\partial^*\partial^*\phi=0. \tag{3.8}$$

We now let γ_t be a solution of

$$E_t(\gamma_t)=-\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}. \tag{3.9}$$

We first check that $-\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}\perp\ker E_t$. Let $\phi\in\ker E_t$, from (3.8) we have $\bar\partial^*\partial^*\phi=0$; from (3.6) we have

$$(-\partial\Phi_t^{1,3},\phi)=(i\partial\bar\partial\mu_t,\phi)=\big(i\mu_t,\bar\partial^*\partial^*\phi\big)=0.$$

This implies $-\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}\perp\ker E_t$. By the theory of elliptic operators, there is a unique smooth solution $\gamma_t\perp\ker E_t$ of (3.9).

We claim that the γ_t and the μ_t defined in (3.6) are related by

$$i\mu_t=\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t\quad\text{and}\quad\partial\gamma_t=0. \tag{3.10}$$

This can be seen as follows. From (3.6) and (3.9), we get $E_t(\gamma_t)-i\partial\bar\partial\mu_t=0$, which, from the definition of the operator E_t, is equivalent to

$$\partial\bar\partial(\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t-i\mu_t)+\partial^*(\bar\partial\bar\partial^*+1)\partial\gamma_t=0.$$

By taking the L^2-norm of the left hand side, we get

$$\partial\bar\partial(\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t - i\mu_t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^*(\bar\partial\bar\partial^* + 1)\partial\gamma_t = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

On the other hand, for any $\phi \in \ker\partial\bar\partial$, we have

$$(\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t, \phi) = (\gamma_t, \partial\bar\partial\phi) = 0.$$

Since $\mu_t \perp \ker\partial\bar\partial$,

$$(\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t - i\mu_t) \perp \ker\partial\bar\partial. \tag{3.12}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain $\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t - i\mu_t = 0$, which is the first identity in (3.10). The second in (3.10) follows from the second equality of (3.11), since

$$0 = \int_{X_t} \langle \partial^*(\bar\partial\bar\partial^* + 1)\partial\gamma_t, \gamma_t\rangle = \int_{X_t} (|\bar\partial^*\partial\gamma_t|^2 + |\partial\gamma_t|^2).$$

We summarize it as a Lemma

Lemma 14. *We let γ_t be the unique solution to $E_t(\gamma_t) = -\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}$ subject to the condition $\gamma_t \perp \ker E_t$. Then γ_t satisfies $\partial\gamma_t = 0$ and the θ_t defined in* (3.7) *is of the form $\theta_t = \partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t$.*

Because of this Lemma, we can apply elliptic estimate to bound the norm of γ_t by that of $\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}$. We first check that for any given $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$, E_t converges uniformly to E_0 on $X_0[c]$. Since E_t depends on the complex structure of X_t and the hodge star operator of background metric ω_t, it depends on ω_t the derivatives of it components of order no more than four. By Lemma 11 and the discussion following the Lemma, for $c > 0$, over $X_t[c]$ the hermition forms ω_t converges to ω_0 in C^4. Then because for any $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$ and t sufficiently small, the Riemannian manifolds with boundaries $(X_t[c], \omega_t)$ have uniform geometry, there is a constant C independent of t small so that

$$\|\gamma_t\|_{L_4^2\left(X_t[2c]\right)} \le C\Big(\|\gamma_t\|_{L^2\left(X_t[c]\right)} + \|\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}\|_{L^2\left(X_t[c]\right)}\Big). \tag{3.13}$$

To proceed, we argue that the quantity $\int_{X_t} |\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}|^2$ is bounded by $C|t|^2$ for some constant C. Indeed, using the explicit expression (3.4) and $\partial\Phi_t^{1,3} = \left(\partial\Phi_t\right)^{2,3}$, we see that $\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}$ only depend on the diffeomorphisms x_t and on f_0. Since we can choose x_t be smooth in t for $|t| < \epsilon$ small, and because the complex structures of X_t is smooth in t away from the nodes of X_0, the form $\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}$ is smooth in t. Then because Φ_0 is of type $(2,2)$, and because $\partial\Phi_t$ has compact support contained in $X_t[\frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$\sup_{w\in X_t} \|\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}(w)\| < C|t|. \tag{3.14}$$

This provides a bound on the last term in the inequality (3.13).

Proposition 12 will follow from the following stronger estimate.

Proposition 15. *For any $\kappa > -\frac{4}{3}$,*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\big(|t|^\kappa \sup_{X_t} |\theta_t|^2_{\omega_t}\big) = 0.$$

Proof. First, according to Sobolev imbedding theorems, since $X_t[\frac{1}{8}]$ have uniform geometry and E_t converges uniformly to E_0 on $X_0[\frac{1}{8}]$, there is a constant C independent of t so that for $p > 6$,

$$|\gamma_t|_{C^3(X_t[1/4])} \leq C\big(||\gamma_t||_{L^2(X_t[1/8])} + ||\partial \Phi_t^{1,3}||_{L^p(X_t[1/8])}\big)$$

Because of the identities in Lemma 14 and the inequality (3.14), there is a constant C independent of t so that

$$\sup_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta_t|^2 \leq C\big(|t|^2 + \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} |\gamma_t|^2\big).$$

Multiplying by $|t|^\kappa$ on both sides, we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\big(|t|^\kappa \sup_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta_t|^2\big) \leq C \lim_{t\to 0} |t|^\kappa \int_{X_t} |\gamma_t|^2. \tag{3.15}$$

This provides us the bound we need over $X_t[\frac{1}{4}]$.

To control that over its complement, namely that inside $V_t(\frac{1}{4})$, we need the following two Lemmas whose proofs will be postponed until next section.

Lemma 16. *There is a constant C independent of t such that*

$$\sup_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2 \leq C \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-4} + C \sup_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta_t|^2.$$

Lemma 17. *There is a constant C independent of t such that*

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \leq C \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\gamma_t|^2 + |\partial \Phi_t^{1,3}|^2).$$

We continue the proof of Proposition 15. Until the end of this section, all constant C's are independent of t; also when it depends on some other date, like a choice of $\delta > 0$, we shall use $C(\delta)$ to indicate so.

Since $\mathbf{r}^2 \geq |t|$ over $V_t(1)$, Lemma 17 implies

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-4} \leq C_1 |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\gamma_t|^2 + |\partial \Phi_t^{1,3}|^2).$$

Combined with Lemma 16, we have

$$\sup_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2 \leq C_2 |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\gamma_t|^2 + |\partial \Phi_t^{1,3}|^2) + C \sup_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta_t|^2.$$

Then multiplying $|t|^\kappa$ to both sides and taking limit $t \to 0$, we find that by (3.14) the second term on the right hand vanishes since $-\frac{2}{3} + \kappa > -2$, and the third one can be controlled by the first one in view of (3.15). So we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\Big(|t|^\kappa \sup_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta_t|^2\Big) \leq C_3 \lim_{t\to 0} |t|^{-\frac{2}{3}+\kappa} \int_{X_t} |\gamma_t|^2.$$

Therefore by (3.15) and the above inequality, should Proposition 15 fail we must have

$$\overline{\lim}_{t\to 0}|t|^{-\frac{2}{3}+\kappa}\int_{X_t}|\gamma_t|^2>0.$$

In this case, there is a positive $\alpha>0$ and a sequence $t_i\to 0$ such that

$$|t_i|^{-\frac{2}{3}+\kappa}\int_{X_{t_i}}|\gamma_{t_i}|^2=\alpha_i^2\geq\alpha^2.$$

Normalizing $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}=t_i^{-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\kappa}{2}}\alpha_i^{-1}\gamma_{t_i}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}$ satisfy

$$E_{t_i}(\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i})=-t_i^{-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\kappa}{2}}\alpha_i^{-1}\partial\Phi_{t_i}^{1,3} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\int_{X_{t_i}}|\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}|^2=1. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\kappa}{2}>-1$, (3.14) implies that the C^0-norm of the right hand side of (3.16) uniformly goes to zero when $i\to\infty$. Therefore by passing through a subsequence, there exists a smooth $(1,3)$-form $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ on $X_{0,sm}$[4] such that $E_0(\tilde{\gamma}_0)=0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}\to\tilde{\gamma}_0$ pointwise.

To make sure that the limit is non-trivial, we check that $\|\tilde{\gamma}_0\|_{L^2}>0$. For this, we need the following estimate that will be proved in the next section.

Lemma 18. *For any $0<\iota<\frac{1}{3}$, there is a constant C such that for any $0<\delta<\frac{1}{4}$ and small t ($|t|<\delta$),*

$$\int_{V_t(\delta)}|\gamma_t|^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}\leq C\delta^{2\iota}\int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]}(|\gamma_t|^2+|\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}|^2).$$

We continue our proving that $\|\tilde{\gamma}_0\|_{L^2}>0$. By (3.14) and (3.17), for large i

$$\int_{V_{t_i}(\delta)}|\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}|^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}\leq C_4\delta^{2\iota}\int_{X_{t_i}[\frac{1}{8}]}(|\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}|^2+t_i^{-\frac{2}{3}+\kappa}\alpha_i^{-2}|\partial_{t_i}\Phi_{t_i}^{1,3}|^2)\leq C_5\delta^{2\iota}. \tag{3.18}$$

Letting $i\to\infty$ and using Lemma 11(2), we get

$$\int_{V_0(\delta)^*}|\tilde{\gamma}_0|^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}\leq C_5\delta^{2\iota}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $V_0(\delta)^*=V_0(\delta)\backslash\{p_1,\cdots,p_l\}$. Because of (3.17) and the pointwise convergence $\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}\to\tilde{\gamma}_0$ over $X_{0,sm}$, we have

$$\int_{X_{0,sm}}|\tilde{\gamma}_0|^2\geq 1-C_5\delta^{2\iota};$$

since δ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\int_{X_{0,sm}}|\tilde{\gamma}_0|^2=1. \tag{3.20}$$

---

[4] $X_{0,sm}$ is the smooth loci of X_0.

To obtain a contradiction to complete the proof of Proposition 15, we now show that $\tilde\gamma_0 = 0$. We first show that $\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0 = 0$. Since $\partial\gamma_t = 0$,

$$E_t(\gamma_t) = \partial\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t;$$

consequently,

$$\int_{X_t} |\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma_t|^2 = \int_{X_t} \langle E_t(\gamma_t), \gamma_t\rangle.$$

Substituting $\tilde\gamma_{t_i}$ and applying the Hölder inequality, (3.17), (3.16) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\int_{X_{t_i}} |\bar\partial^*\partial^*\tilde\gamma_{t_i}|^2 \le \Bigl(\int_{X_{t_i}} |\tilde\gamma_{t_i}|^2\Bigr)^{\frac12}\Bigl(\int_{X_{t_i}} |E_{t_i}(\tilde\gamma_{t_i})|^2\Bigr)^{\frac12} \le C_6|t_i|^{\frac23+\frac{\kappa}{2}}.$$

Taking $i\to\infty$ and noticing $\kappa > -\frac43$, we get $\bar\partial^*\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0 = 0$.

We next pick a cut-off function $\tau(s)$ that vanishes when $s \le 0$ and $\tau(s) = 1$ when $s \ge 1$. For any $0 < \delta < 1$, we put $\mathbf{s}_\delta = \frac{2\mathbf{r}-\delta}{\delta}$. (Note that $\mathbf{r}$ is a function on $V_0(1)$ defined in (2.1) and is equal to $r\circ\Phi^{-1}$.) We define

$$\tau_\delta = \tau(\mathbf{s}_\delta).$$

It vanished in a small neighborhood of the singular points of X_0 in X_0; it is a constant function one near the boundary of $V_0(1)$. Therefore it can be extended to a function on X_0. We still denote this extension by τ_δ. Using (2.4) and (2.5), over $V_0(\delta)\setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})$ and for a constant C_7 independent of δ, we then estimate

$$|\partial\tau_\delta|^2_{\omega_{co,0}} = \frac{4}{\delta^2}|\tau'(s)|^2|\partial\mathbf{r}|^2_{\omega_{co,0}} \le C_7\mathbf{r}^{-\frac43}. \tag{3.21}$$

We now fix a $\delta_1 < \frac18$. Since $\tau_{\delta_1}\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0$ has compact support, we can view $\tau_{\delta_1}\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0$ as a $(1,3)$-form on Y. Since $H^{1,3}(Y,\mathbb{C}) = 0$, there exists a smooth $(1,2)$-form ς_{δ_1} on Y such that

$$\tau_{\delta_1}\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0 = \bar\partial\varsigma_{\delta_1}.$$

Then for any $\delta < \frac{\delta_1}{2}$, by integration by parts and using $\bar\partial^*\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0 = 0$,

$$\int_{X_0}\tau_{\delta_1}|\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0|^2 = \int_{X_0}\tau_\delta\tau_{\delta_1}|\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0|^2 = \int_{X_0}\tau_\delta\langle\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0, \bar\partial\varsigma_{\delta_1}\rangle = \int_{X_0}\langle *(\partial\tau_\delta\wedge *\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0), \varsigma_{\delta_1}\rangle. \tag{3.22}$$

By Hölder inequality and the definition of τ_δ, the right hand obeys

$$\int_{X_0}\langle *(\partial\tau_\delta\wedge *\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0), \varsigma_{\delta_1}\rangle \le \Bigl(\int_{V(\delta)\setminus V(\frac{\delta}{2})}|\partial\tau_\delta|^2|\partial^*\tilde\gamma_0|^2\Bigr)^{\frac12}\Bigl(\int_{V(\delta)\setminus V(\frac{\delta}{2})}|\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2\Bigr)^{\frac12}. \tag{3.23}$$

We then apply the following estimate to be proved in the next section:

Lemma 19. *For any $0 < \iota < \frac13$, there is a constant C such that for any $0 < \delta < \frac14$ and any small t ($|t| < \delta$),*

$$\int_{V_t(\delta)}|\partial^*\gamma_t|^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac43} < C\delta^{2\iota}\int_{X_t[\frac18]}(|\gamma_t|^2 + |\partial\Phi_t^{1,3}|^2).$$

From this Lemma, we obtain for large i,

$$\int_{V_{t_i}(\delta)} |\partial^* \tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} < C_8 \delta^{2\iota} \int_{X_{t_i}[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\tilde{\gamma}_{t_i}|^2 + \frac{|\partial \Phi^{1,3}_{t_i}|^2}{\alpha_i^2 |t_i|^{\frac{2}{3}-\kappa}}) \leq C_8 \delta^{2\iota},$$

where C_8 is independent of δ. Taking limit $i \to \infty$ and using Lemma 11(2), we get

$$\int_{V_0(\delta)^*} |\partial^* \tilde{\gamma}_0|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} \leq C_8 \delta^{2\iota}.$$

Above inequality and (3.21) imply that

$$\int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\partial \tau_\delta|^2 |\partial^* \tilde{\gamma}_0|^2 \leq C_9 \delta^{2\iota}. \tag{3.24}$$

Next, we denote by $\mathcal{U}(\delta)$ the union of all neighborhoods $U_i(\delta)$ of E_i in Y, used in the previous section for $0 < \delta < 1$. Over $V_0(1)^* \cong \mathcal{U}(1) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{l} E_i$ we have three metrics:

$$\omega_e = i\partial\bar{\partial}\mathbf{r}^2, \quad \omega_{co,0} = i\frac{3}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}(\mathbf{r}^2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{co}.$$

(Recall that $\omega_{co,0}$ is the cone Ricci-flat metric and ω_{co} is the Ricci-flat metric on $\mathcal{U}(1)$ (see (2.9)). Via isomorphism Φ, $\Phi^*(\omega_e) = i\partial\bar{\partial}r^2$ is a metric induced from the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^4$.) Since all these metrics are homogeneous, to compare them we only need to compare their restrictions over a single point in one E_i, say at $z = 0$.

Now comparing metric $\omega_{co,0}$ with ω_e by (2.5) and (2.3), and comparing the metrics ω_e with ω_{co} by (2.3) and (2.10), since ς_{δ_1} is a $(1,2)$-form, the second factor in (3.23) fits into the inequalities

$$\int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2 \leq C \int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2_{\omega_e} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} \leq C_{10} \int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2_{\omega_{co}} \mathbf{r}^{-4} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}. \tag{3.25}$$

Since ς_{δ_1} and ω_{co} are smooth on $\mathcal{U}(\delta_1)$, there exists a constant $C_{11}(\delta_1)$ possibly depending on δ_1 such that

$$\max_{\mathcal{U}(\delta_1)} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2_{\omega_{co}} \leq C_{11}(\delta_1).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2_{\omega_{co}} \mathbf{r}^{-4} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} \leq C_{11}(\delta_1) \int_{\{\mathbf{r}=1\}} \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{\delta} \mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r} dS \leq C_{12}(\delta_1) \delta^2,$$

where dS is the volume element of surface $\{\mathbf{r} = 1\}$. Combined with (3.25), we get

$$\int_{V_0(\delta) \setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\varsigma_{\delta_1}|^2 \leq C_{13}(\delta_1) \delta^2.$$

Then combined with (3.24) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\int_{X_0} \langle *(\partial \tau_\delta \wedge *\partial^* \tilde{\gamma}_0), \varsigma_{\delta_1} \rangle \leq C_{14}(\delta_1) \delta^{1+\iota},$$

and with (3.22),

$$\int_{X_0} \tau_{\delta_1} |\partial^* \tilde{\gamma}_0|^2 \le C_{15}(\delta_1)\delta^{1+\iota}.$$

Taking $\delta \to 0$ and then $\delta_1 \to 0$, we get $\int_{X_{0,sm}} |\partial^*\tilde{\gamma}_0|^2 = 0$; hence $\partial^*\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 0$.

It remains to show that $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 0$. Since $\partial\gamma_t = 0$, we have $\partial\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 0$. Let φ_0 be the complex conjugate $\bar{\tilde{\gamma}}_0|_{V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*}$. Then $\bar\partial\varphi_0 = \bar\partial^*\varphi_0 = 0$. On the other hand, comparing the metrics (2.3) and (2.5), and then using (3.19), we have

$$\int_{V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*} |\varphi_0|^2_{\omega_e} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} \le C \int_{V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*} |\varphi_0|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, $\varphi_0 \in H^{3,2}_{(2)}\big(V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*, \omega_e\big)$ is an L^2-Dolbeault cohomology class of $V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*$, with respect to ω_e.

We claim that this cohomology group vanishes. First, for any $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$, $V_0(\delta)^* = V_0(\delta) \setminus \{p_1, \cdots, p_l\}$. If we let $\tilde{V}_0(\delta) = \Phi^{-1}(V_0(\delta))$, then $\tilde{V}_0(\delta)$ is a disjoint union of l copies of $\tilde{U}_0(\delta)$,

$$\tilde{U}_0(\delta) = \Big\{(w_1, \cdots, w_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 | \sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i^2 = 0, r < \delta\Big\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\omega}_e = i\partial\bar\partial r^2$ on $\tilde{U}_0(\delta)^* = \tilde{U}_0(\delta) \setminus \{0\}$ be the metric induced by the flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^4$. From [21], we have $\lim_{\delta\to 0} H^{3,2}_{(2)}\big(\tilde{U}_0(\delta)^*, \tilde{\omega}_e\big) = 0$. Since $\omega_e = \Phi^*(\tilde{\omega}_e)$ via the isomorphism Φ and since $V_0(\delta)^*$ is a disjoint union of l connected open sets each of which is isomorphic to $\tilde{U}_0(\delta)^*$, we also have $\lim_{\delta\to 0} H^{3,2}_{(2)}\big(V_0(\delta)^*, \omega_e\big) = 0$. Therefore, there exists a $\delta_2 < \frac{1}{4}$ and a $(3,1)$-form ν_0 on $V_0(\delta_2)^*$ such that $\bar\partial\nu_0 = \varphi_0$ and

$$\int_{V(\delta_2)^*} |\nu_0|^2_{\omega_e} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} < +\infty. \tag{3.26}$$

Let

$$\varphi_{\delta_2} = \varphi_0 - \bar\partial\big((1-\tau_{\delta_2})\nu_0\big).$$

Then φ_{δ_2} has a compact support in $X_{0,sm}$ and $\bar\partial\varphi_{\delta_2} = 0$. We can view φ_{δ_2} as a $(3,2)$-form on Y. Since $H^{3,2}(Y, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, there exists a smooth function ν_{δ_2} on Y such that $\varphi_{\delta_2} = \bar\partial\nu_{\delta_2}$.

Now for any $\delta < \delta_2$, since $\bar\partial^*\varphi_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{X_{0,sm}} \tau_\delta |\varphi_0|^2 =& \int_{X_{0,sm}} \tau_\delta \langle \varphi_0, \varphi_0 - \bar\partial((1-\tau_{\delta_2})\nu_0) + \bar\partial((1-\tau_{\delta_2})\nu_0)\rangle \\
=& \int_{X_{0,sm}} \tau_\delta \langle \varphi_0, \bar\partial(\nu_{\delta_2} + (1-\tau_{\delta_2})\nu_0)\rangle \\
=& \int_{X_{0,sm}} \langle *(\partial\tau_\delta \wedge *\varphi_0), \nu_{\delta_2} + (1-\tau_{\delta_2})\nu_0\rangle \\
\le& \Big(\int_{V_0(\delta)\setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\partial\tau_\delta|^2 |\varphi_0|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{V_0(\delta)\setminus V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\nu_{\delta_2}|^2 + |\nu_0|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Applying (3.19) and (3.21), and adding $\varphi_0 = \bar{\tilde{\gamma}}_0|_{V_0(\frac{1}{4})^*}$, we obtain

$$\int_{V_0(\delta)\backslash V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\partial\tau_\delta|^2|\varphi_0|^2 \leq C_{16}\delta^{2\iota},$$

where C_{16} does not depend on δ. On the other hand, since ν_{δ_2} is the smooth form in Y and ω_{co} is a smooth metric on $\mathcal{U}(\delta_2)$, there exists a constants $C_{17}(\delta_2)$ possibly depending on δ_2 such that $\max_{\mathcal{U}(\delta_2)} |\nu_{\delta_2}|^2_{\omega_{co}} \leq C_{17}(\delta_2)$. This and (2.3), (2.5) and (2.10) imply that

$$\int_{V_0(\delta)\backslash V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\nu_{\delta_2}|^2 \leq C_{18}\int_{V_0(\delta)\backslash V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\nu_{\delta_2}|^2_{\omega_{co}}\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{10}{3}}\mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} \leq C_{19}(\delta_2)\delta^{\frac{7}{3}}.$$

Applying (3.26), we also have

$$\int_{V_0(\delta)\backslash V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\nu_0|^2 \leq C\int_{V_0(\delta)\backslash V_0(\frac{\delta}{2})} |\nu_0|^2_{\omega_e}\mathbf{r}^{\frac{2}{3}}\mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e} \leq C_{20}.$$

Substituting above three inequalities into (3.27), we get

$$\int_{X_{0,sm}} \tau_\delta|\varphi_0|^2 \leq C_{21}(\delta_2)\delta^\iota.$$

Taking $\delta \to 0$, since we have fixed δ_2, we obtain $\int_{X_{0,sm}} |\varphi_0|^2 = 0$. This proves $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 0$, a contradiction that proves the Proposition 15, and hence the Proposition 12. □

4. PROOFS OF LEMMAS 16 TO 19

We shall prove Lemma 16, 17, 18 and 19 in this section.

We first recall some of the notations introduced in the previous section. In the last section, we have introduced subsets $\tilde{U}_t \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, the biholomorphic map $\Phi : \tilde{U}_t \to U_t$ and $V_t \subset X_t$, which is the union of l connected components each biholomorphic to U_t.

We let $f_t(s)$ be as defined in (3.3) and let $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t} \triangleq i\partial\bar{\partial}f_t(r^2)$ be the corresponding CO-metric on $\tilde{U}_t$. By definition, $\Phi^*(\omega_{co,t}) = \tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$. Thus to study the metric $\omega_{co,t}$ we only need to investigate that of $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$.

One property of $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$ we need is the following. For any c such that $|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} < c < 1$, the surface $\{r = c\} \subset \tilde{U}_t$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^3$ and $q = (\frac{\sqrt{r^2-t}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i\sqrt{r^2-t}}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, t^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a point in this surface. In the appendix, we will prove that we can find a holomorphic coordinate (z_1, z_2, z_3) at this point such that CO metric has the form

$$\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}|_q = i\partial\bar{\partial}f_t(r^2)|_q = i\sum_{j=1}^{3} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j. \tag{4.1}$$

Under this coordinate, we also have

$$\partial\bar{\partial}r^2|_q = (r^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big(\frac{r^4}{\eta_t^3(r^2)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big(dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\eta_t^3(r^2)}{r^4}dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 + dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3\Big), \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\partial r^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} r^2|_q = \frac{3}{2}(r^2)^{\frac{4}{3}}\Big(\frac{\eta_t^3(r^2)}{r^4}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}}\Big(1-\frac{t^2}{r^4}\Big)dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2. \tag{4.3}$$

Here $\eta_t(s) = sf_t'(s)$. In the appendix we will also prove that $r^{-4}\eta_t^3$ is increasing over $[|t|, +\infty)$ and

$$\lim_{r^2 \to |t|} r^{-4}\eta_t^3 = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \lim_{r^2 \to \infty} r^{-4}\eta_t^3 = 1. \tag{4.4}$$

We let $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ be the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$ at q in coordinate (z_1, z_2, z_3). We have the following Lemma estimate

Proposition 20. *There exists a constant C independent of t and r such that the curvature tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of the CO metric $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$ at q are bounded by*

$$|R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}| \leq Cr^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

Proof. We shall prove this in the Appendix. □

Let $\tilde{\omega}_e \triangleq i\partial\bar{\partial}r^2$ on $\tilde{U}_t$ be the induced metric from Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let the norm and volume form defined by this metric be $|\cdot|_{\tilde{\omega}_e}$ and $\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}_e}$. Comparing (4.1) with (4.2), since $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_e$ are both homogeneous, we have the relation at any point in $\tilde{U}_t$:

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}} = \frac{2}{3}r^{-2}\mathrm{vol}_{\tilde{\omega}_e} \tag{4.5}$$

and by (4.1), (4.2) and the estimate (4.4), for any smooth function f on $\tilde{U}_t$,

$$|\nabla f|^2_{\tilde{\omega}_e} \leq Cr^{-\frac{2}{3}}|\nabla f|^2_{\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}}, \tag{4.6}$$

here as usual $|\nabla f|^2_{\omega} = g^{i\bar{j}}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$ for $\omega_{i\bar{j}} = g_{i\bar{j}}dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$.

We comment that the prior discussion applies to metrics $\omega_{co,t}$ on $U_t(\frac{1}{2})$ since our chosen background metric ω_t restricted to $U_t(\frac{1}{2})$ is the CO-metric $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$ under the isomorphism Φ. By abuse of notation, we shall also view (z_1, z_2, z_3) as a local coordinate of the point $\Phi^{-1}(q) \in U_t(\frac{1}{2})$. Finally, since the geometry of V_t is the disjoint union of l copies of U_t, all statements about U_t concerning $\omega_{co,t}$ apply to V_t as well.

For simplicity, in the following we shall adopt the following convention. Since we will work primarily over X_t, we will omit the subscript t in all the functions and forms that was used to indicate the domain of definition. For instance, the form θ_t on X_t will be abbreviated to θ when the domain manifold X_t is clear from the context. Also, we shall continue to use ω_t to be our default metric on X_t; thus all norms and integrations without specification are with respect to the metric ω_t and by the volume form of ω_t. In case we need to use a different metric, say with ω_e, we will use $|\cdot|_{\omega_e}$ and vol_{ω_e} to mean the associated norm and volume form.

We let $\tau(\mathbf{r})$ be a cut-off function defined on $V_t(1)$ such that $\tau(\mathbf{r}) = 1$ when $\mathbf{r} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\tau(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ when $\mathbf{r} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We then extend it to X_t by zero and denote by the same notation $\tau(\mathbf{r})$.

4.1. **Proof of Lemma 16.** We fix a t with small $|t|$. As commented, we write θ to the θ_t of Lemma 16.

We introduce a sequence $\beta_k = (\frac{3}{2})^k$. By the definition of τ and (4.5), we have

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^{2\beta_k} \mathbf{r}^{-4} \le \frac{2}{3} \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_k} \mathbf{r}^{-6} \tau^3 \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}. \tag{4.7}$$

The function $|\theta|^{2\beta_k} \mathbf{r}^{-6}\tau^3$ is a non-negative C^∞-function with compact support contained in $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$. Via Φ, $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$ is identified with a minimal submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^4$ under the Euclidean metric. Thus we can apply the Michael-Simon's Sobolev inequality [18] (independently by Allard [2])

$$\Big(\int_M f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathrm{vol}\Big)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \le C(n) \int_M (|\nabla f| + |H| \cdot f) \mathrm{vol},$$

where f is a nonnegative functions with compact support on an n-dimensional submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, H the mean curvature vector of M. Here all metrics and norms are taken under those induced from the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Applying this to the minimal surface $\tilde{U}_t \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ and that V_t is a union of U_t, for any nonnegative function f on $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$ with compact support, the above inequality implies

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} f^3 \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C \Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\nabla f|^2_{\omega_e} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where C is a constant depending only on the dimension of $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$. Using the volume comparison (4.5) and the norm comparison (4.6) to the right hand side of the above inequality, we get

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} f^3 \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C_1 \Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\nabla f|^2_{\omega_{co,t}} \mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}} \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_{co,t}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.8}$$

for C_1 a constant independent of t.

We remark that in this section we shall use all C_i to denote constants that do not depend on t and k. Since the exact size of these constants are irrelevant, we shall be very lose in keeping track of them.

Applying (4.8) to the right hand side of (4.7) for $f = |\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^{2\beta_k} \mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} \le \Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_k} \mathbf{r}^{-6}\tau^3 \mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \le& C_1^2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla(|\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}\tau)\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}} \\ \le& 3C_1^2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla|\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}}\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}}\tau^2 + 3C_1^2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} |\nabla \mathbf{r}^{-2}|^2 \mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}} \tau^2 + \\ &\quad + 3C_1^2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} |\nabla\tau|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

We can use (4.3) to estimate the second term in last line of the above inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} |\nabla \mathbf{r}^{-2}|^2 \mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}} \tau^2 &\leq C_2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \mathbf{r}^{-4} \tau^2 \\ &\leq C_2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \mathbf{r}^{-4} + 4^4 C_2 \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

From the definition of τ, the third term is controlled

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} |\nabla \tau|^2 \leq C_3 \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}. \tag{4.11}$$

It remains to estimate the first term in the last line of (4.9). We claim that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla |\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}}\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 &\leq -C_4 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \triangle_{\bar\partial} (\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2) - \\ &- \beta_{k-1} \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-1)} g^{\bar\beta\alpha} (\langle \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar\beta} \theta, \theta\rangle + \langle \theta, \nabla_{\bar\alpha} \nabla_\beta \theta\rangle) \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

We first prove the case $k \geq 3$. By direct calculation, we have

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla |\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}}\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 = \frac{\beta_{k-1}^2}{4} \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-2)} \big|\nabla |\theta|^2\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2. \tag{4.13}$$

Using the definition $\triangle_{\bar\partial} = -g^{\bar\beta\alpha} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar z_\beta}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta_{k-1}(\beta_{k-1}-1)|\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-2)} \big|\nabla |\theta|^2\big|^2 \\ =&\beta_{k-1} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-1)} \triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^2 - \triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \\ \leq& -\beta_{k-1} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-1)} g^{\bar\beta\alpha} (\langle \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar\beta} \theta, \theta\rangle + \langle \theta, \nabla_{\bar\alpha} \nabla_\beta \theta\rangle) - \triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}}\tau^2$ to both sides of above inequality and then integrating over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, since the CO metric is Kähler and τ^2 vanishes outside $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta_{k-1}(\beta_{k-1}-1) \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-2)} \big|\nabla |\theta|^2\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 \\ \leq& -\beta_{k-1} \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-1)} g^{\bar\beta\alpha} (\langle \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\bar\beta} \theta, \theta\rangle + \langle \theta, \nabla_{\bar\alpha} \nabla_\beta \theta\rangle) \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 \\ &- \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \triangle_{\bar\partial} \big(\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2\big).\end{aligned}$$

This and (4.13) proves (4.12).

For $k = 2$, from $\triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^3 = \frac{3}{2} |\theta| \triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^2 - 3|\theta| \big|\nabla |\theta|\big|^2$, a computation gives

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla |\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_2}{3}}\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 \leq \beta_1 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_1-1)} \triangle_{\bar\partial} |\theta|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2 - \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_1} \triangle_{\bar\partial} (\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \tau^2).$$

This implies (4.12) in case of $k = 2$.

For $k = 1$, we need to estimate $\left|\nabla|\theta|\right|^2$. When $|\theta| = 0$, $| \bigtriangledown |\theta|| = 0$. When $|\theta| \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\nabla|\theta|\right|^2 =&\frac{1}{4}|\theta|^{-2}\left|\nabla|\theta|^2\right|^2 \leq 2^{-1}g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\langle\nabla_\alpha\theta, \nabla_\beta\theta\rangle + 2^{-1}g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\langle\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\theta, \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\theta\rangle \\ =& - 2^{-1} \bigtriangleup_{\bar{\partial}} |\theta|^2 - 2^{-1}g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\langle\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\theta, \theta\rangle - 2^{-1}g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\langle\theta, \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\beta\theta\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

So (4.12) is still valid for $k = 1$ and $\beta_0 = 1$.

Next we estimate the second term in (4.12) by using the Kodaira's Bochner formula ([20] p.119): for any (p, q)-form $\psi = \sum \psi_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}^{\beta_q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\bigtriangleup_{\bar{\partial}}\psi)_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q} =& - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\psi_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_q} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha_i\bar{\beta}_k}{}^{\bar{\beta}}\psi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{i-1}\alpha\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\bar{\beta}_{k-1}\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}_{k+1}\cdots\bar{\beta}_q} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{\beta} R_{\bar{\beta}_k}{}^{\bar{\beta}}\psi_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar{\beta}_{k-1}\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}_{k+1}\cdots\bar{\beta}_q}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

We use above formula to $\psi = \theta$ over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$. Since $\bigtriangleup_{\bar{\partial}}\theta|_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} = 0$ and from Proposition 20 the curvature is bounded by $C\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&- g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(\langle\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\theta, \theta\rangle + \langle\theta, \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\beta\theta\rangle) \\ =& - g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(\langle\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\theta, \theta\rangle + \langle\theta, \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\theta\rangle + \langle\theta, [\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}, \nabla_\beta]\theta\rangle) \leq C_5\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}|\theta|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $[\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}, \nabla_\beta] = \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\beta - \nabla_\beta\nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is the curvature operator.

From the above inequality, we can estimate the second term in (4.12):

$$\begin{aligned}&- \beta_{k-1}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2(\beta_{k-1}-1)}g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}(\langle\nabla_\alpha\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\theta, \theta\rangle + \langle\theta, \nabla_{\bar{\alpha}}\nabla_\beta\theta\rangle)\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}}\tau^2 \\ &\leq C_5\beta_{k-1}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\tau^2 \\ &\leq C_5\beta_{k-1}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4} + 4^4C_5\beta_{k-1}\int_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3),

$$- \bigtriangleup_{\bar{\partial}} \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}} \leq C_6\mathbf{r}^{-4}.$$

Thus the first term in (4.12) can be controlled

$$\begin{aligned}&- \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}} \bigtriangleup_{\bar{\partial}} (\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}}\tau^2) \\ &\leq C_6\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4} + 4^4C_6\int_{X_t[\frac{1}{4}]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Inserting (4.15) and (4.16) into (4.12), we get

$$\int_{V_t(\frac14)} \big|\nabla|\theta|^{\frac{2\beta_k}{3}}\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac83}\tau^2 \le C_7\beta_{k-1}\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4} + \int_{X_t[\frac14]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\Big). \tag{4.17}$$

Then inserting (4.17), (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.9), at last we obtain

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_k}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_k}} \le (C_7\beta_{k-1})^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}}\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4} + \int_{X_t[\frac14]} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}}$$

So for any $k \ge 1$, from above inequality, either

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_k}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_k}} \le \big(2C_7\beta_{k-1}\big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}}\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_{k-1}}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}}$$

or

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_k}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_k}} \le \big(2C_7\beta_{k-1}\big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}}\big(\mathrm{vol}(X_t[1/4])\big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k-1}}} \sup_{X_t[\frac14]} |\theta|^2$$

must hold. Since the volume of $X_t[\frac14]$ can be controlled by a constant independent of t, these two inequalities imply

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^{2\beta_k}\mathbf{r}^{-4}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_k}} \le \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}(C_8\beta_{i-1})^{\frac{1}{\beta_{i-1}}}\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta|^2\mathbf{r}^{-4} + \sup_{X_t[\frac14]} |\theta|^2\Big),$$

for C_8 independent of t and k. Taking limit $k \to \infty$, we get the inequality stated in Lemma 16.

4.2. **Proof of Lemma 17.** We shall continue working over X_t and the opens $V_t(\delta)$, and write θ instead of θ_t when X_t is understood. To streamline the notation, we will assign the symbol Λ_t to

$$\Lambda_t := \int_{X_t[\frac18]} (|\gamma|^2 + |\partial\Phi^{1,3}|^2).$$

The Lemma 17 is to show that for a constant C independent of t,

$$\int_{V_t(\frac14)} |\theta_t|^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac83} \le C\Lambda_t.$$

To begin with, for a smooth positive function ϕ, we define $\bar\partial^*_\phi\zeta = \bar\partial^*\zeta - *(\partial\log\phi \wedge *\zeta)$, $\nabla^\phi_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \log\phi$ and $X_{\phi\ \bar\beta_k}^{\ \ \bar\beta} = -g^{\bar\beta_k\alpha}\partial_{\bar\beta}\partial_\alpha\log\phi$. We need another Kodaira's Bochner formula ([20], p.124): For any (p,q)-form $\zeta = \sum \zeta_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar\beta_q} dz^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar z^{\beta_q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}((\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_\phi + \bar\partial^*_\phi\bar\partial)\zeta)_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar\beta_q} &= -\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\nabla^\phi_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\zeta_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar\beta_q}\\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^p\sum_{k=1}^q\sum_{\alpha,\beta} R^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha_i\bar\beta_k}{}^{\bar\beta}\zeta_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{i-1}\alpha\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\bar\beta_{k-1}\bar\beta\bar\beta_{k+1}\cdots\bar\beta_q}\\ &\quad+\sum_{k=1}^q\sum_{\beta}(X_{\phi\ \bar\beta_k}^{\ \ \bar\beta} - R_{\bar\beta_k}{}^{\bar\beta})\zeta_{\alpha_1\cdots\bar\beta_{k-1}\bar\beta\bar\beta_{k+1}\cdots\bar\beta_q}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

We now let $\psi = \partial\partial^*\gamma$. Since the CO metric is Kähler over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, over $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$ we have $\theta = \partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma = -\bar\partial^*\psi$. We apply the Kodaira formula for $\phi = \phi_1 = \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{8}{3}}$ and $\zeta = \psi$. Since ψ is a $(2,3)$-form and the CO metric is Ricci flat, we obtain (4.19)

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \langle \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi, \psi\rangle \phi_1\tau = -\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \langle g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\nabla^{\phi_1}_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar\beta}\psi, \psi\rangle\phi_1\tau + \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\sum_{\beta=1}^{3} X_{\phi_1}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}|\psi|^2\phi_1\tau.$$

Since τ has a compact support in $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \langle \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi, \psi\rangle \phi_1\tau \\
=&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \langle \bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi, \bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi\rangle\phi_1\tau + \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle \bar\partial\tau\wedge\bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi,\psi\rangle\phi_1 \\
\le&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\theta|^2\phi_1\tau + \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\partial\log\phi_1\wedge *\psi|^2\phi_1\tau \\
&- 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle *(\partial\log\phi_1\wedge *\psi), \bar\partial^*\psi\rangle\phi_1\tau + \cdots,
\end{aligned}$$

where the dots denote terms that are integrations over $X_t[\frac{1}{4}]$ of smooth function including the derivatives of τ. By (3.13), the dotted terms are controlled by a fixed multiple, independent of t, of $\Lambda_t = \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]}(|\gamma|^2 + |\partial\Phi^{1,3}|^2)$. In the remainder of this section, the term $C\Lambda_t$ will appear in various places for the same reason.

On the other hand, since $\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\psi = -\partial\Phi^{1,3} = 0$ on $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\theta|^2\phi_1\tau =&\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi, \bar\partial^*\psi\rangle\phi_1\tau + \mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle *(\partial\log\phi_1\wedge *\psi), \bar\partial^*\psi\rangle\phi_1\tau \\
\le&\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle *(\partial\log\phi_1\wedge *\psi), \bar\partial^*\psi\rangle\phi_1\tau + C_1\Lambda_t.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the C_1 and the C_i to appear later are all independent of t. Combining the above two inequalities, we get

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \langle \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_1}\psi, \psi\rangle \phi_1\tau \le -\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\theta|^2\phi_1\tau + \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\partial\log\phi_1\wedge *\psi|^2\phi_1\tau + C_2\Lambda_t.$$

Inserting the above inequality into (4.19) and applying divergence theorem to the first term on the right hand side (4.19), since ψ is a $(2,3)$-form, we get

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\theta|^2\phi_1\tau \le \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\big(|\partial\log\phi_1|^2 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{3}X_{\phi_1}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}\big)|\psi|^2\phi_1\tau + C_3\Lambda_t. \tag{4.20}$$

According to (4.1)-(4.4), we have

$$|\partial\log\phi_1|^2 \le \frac{8}{3}\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\beta=1}^{3}X_{\phi_1}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta} \ge \frac{8}{3}\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

So from (4.20), we get

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\theta|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} \le C_3\Lambda_t = C_3 \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\gamma|^2 + |\partial\Phi^{1,3}|)^2.$$

This proves Lemma 17.

4.3. **Proof of Lemma 18 and Lemma 19.** We first prove Lemma 18. The same method can be used to prove Lemma 19.

For any $0 < \iota < \frac{1}{3}$ and any $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$, by Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{V_t(\delta)} |\gamma|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}} \le \Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\gamma|^3 \mathbf{r}^{-3\iota}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} \Big(\int_{V_t(\delta)} \mathbf{r}^{-4+6\iota}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Clearly,

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\delta)} \mathbf{r}^{-4+6\iota}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \Big(\frac{2}{3}\int_{V_t(\delta)} \mathbf{r}^{-6+6\iota}\mathrm{vol}_{\omega_e}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C\delta^{2\iota},$$

where constant C does not depend on t and δ. So to prove the Lemma we only need to prove that for a constant C independent of t,

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\gamma|^3 \mathbf{r}^{-3\iota}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} \le C \int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]} (|\gamma|^2 + |\partial\Phi^{1,3}|^2). \tag{4.21}$$

We will prove the above inequality in three steps. Our first step is to establish the inequality

$$\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\gamma|^3 \mathbf{r}^{-3\iota}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} \le 8\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota}\tau^2 + C_1 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\gamma|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota-\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2 + C_1\Lambda_t. \tag{4.22}$$

We now prove this inequality. Using the method in deriving (4.9) and (4.12) for $k = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{4})} |\gamma|^3 \mathbf{r}^{-3\iota}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}} &\le C_2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} \big|\nabla|\gamma|\big|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota}\tau^2 + \\ &\quad + C_2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\gamma|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{r}^{-\iota-\frac{2}{3}}|^2 \mathbf{r}^{\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2 + C_2 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\gamma|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota}|\nabla\tau|^2 \\ &\le C_3 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}(\langle\nabla_\alpha\gamma, \nabla_\beta\gamma\rangle + \langle\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma, \nabla_{\bar\alpha}\gamma\rangle)\mathbf{r}^{-2\iota}\tau^2 \\ &\quad + C_3 \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} |\gamma|^2 \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota-\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2 + C_3\Lambda_t.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Let $\phi_2 = \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota}$ and $\phi_3 = \mathbf{r}^{-2\iota-\frac{4}{3}}$. By divergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\big(\langle\nabla_\alpha\gamma,\nabla_\beta\gamma\rangle+\langle\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\nabla_{\bar\alpha}\gamma\rangle\big)\phi_2\tau^2\\
\le&-2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\nabla^{\phi_2}_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2+\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle g^{\bar\beta\alpha}[\nabla_{\bar\beta},\nabla_\alpha]\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2+C_4\Lambda\\
&+\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\partial_\alpha\log\phi_2\cdot\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\nabla_\alpha\gamma,\partial_\beta(\phi_2\tau^2)\gamma\rangle.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

To bound the four terms after the identity sign, we use that the curvature is bounded by $C\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}$ to the second item, and use the Hölder inequality to the last two items. After simplification, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}(\langle\nabla_\alpha\gamma,\nabla_\beta\gamma\rangle+\langle\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\nabla_{\bar\alpha}\gamma\rangle)\phi_2\tau^2\\
\le&-4\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\nabla^{\phi_2}_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2+C_4\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2+C_4\Lambda_t.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

We now deal with the first term on the right hand side of the above inequality. We use the Kodaira's formula (4.18) to the case $\zeta=\gamma$ and $\phi=\phi_2$ in this subsection. Since γ is a $(2,3)$-form and CO metric is Ricci flat, (4.18) reduces to

$$-\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\nabla^{\phi_2}_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma=\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma-\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}X_{\phi_2}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}\gamma.$$

So we get

$$-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\nabla^{\phi_2}_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2=\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\sum_{\beta=1}^{3}X_{\phi_2}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}|\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2.$$

By Holder inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2&=\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma,\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial\tau^2\wedge\bar\partial^*_{\phi_2}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\\
&\le2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\partial\log\phi_2|^2|\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+C_5\Lambda_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Put together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar\beta\alpha}\langle\nabla^{\phi_2}_\alpha\nabla_{\bar\beta}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2\le&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\big(2|\partial\log\phi_2|^2-\sum X_{\phi_2}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}\big)|\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+\\
&+2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+C_5\Lambda_t.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

On the other hand, by direct calculation,

$$|\partial\log\phi_2|^2\le\frac{3}{2}\iota^2\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}\quad\text{and}\quad\sum X_{\phi_2}{}^{\bar\beta}{}_{\bar\beta}\ge2\iota\mathbf{r}^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

So inequality (4.26) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})} g^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}\langle\nabla^{\phi_2}_{\alpha}\nabla_{\bar{\beta}}\gamma,\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2\\ \le& 2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}(3\iota^2-2\iota)|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2+C_5\Lambda_t.\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

Finally, inserting (4.27) into (4.25) and then inserting (4.25) into (4.23), we complete our first step in establishing the inequality (4.22).

Our second step is to prove

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2 \le \frac{2}{2\iota-3\iota^2}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+C_6\Lambda_t.\tag{4.28}$$

For this, we first apply the divergence theorem to the left had side of (4.27):

$$(2\iota-3\iota^2)\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2 \le 2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+C_6\Lambda_t.\tag{4.29}$$

This inequality implies (4.28) since when $\iota<\frac{1}{3}$, $2\iota-3\iota^2>0$.

Our third step is to prove

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2 \le C_7\int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]}(|\gamma|^2+|\;\partial\Phi^{1,3}\;|^2)).\tag{4.30}$$

To achieve this, we write

$$\begin{aligned}2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2 =& 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar{\partial}^*_{\phi_2}\gamma,\bar{\partial}^*\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2\\ &+2\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle *(\partial\log\phi_2\wedge *\gamma),\bar{\partial}^*\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

The first term after the equal sign in (4.31) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\le& 2\mathrm{Re}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\gamma,\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\gamma\rangle\phi_2\tau^2+C_8\Lambda_t\\ \le& 2b\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2+\frac{1}{2b}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_4\tau^2+C_8\Lambda_t,\end{aligned}$$

for some $b>0$, and for $\phi_4=\mathbf{r}^{-2\iota+\frac{4}{3}}$ and $\phi_3=\mathbf{r}^{-2\iota-\frac{4}{3}}$. By (4.3), the second item after the equal sign in (4.31) is bounded by

$$\le\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2+\frac{3}{2}\iota^2\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2.$$

Therefore (4.31) implies

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2\le(\frac{3}{2}\iota^2+2b)\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\gamma|^2\phi_3\tau^2+\frac{1}{2b}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^*\gamma|^2\phi_4\tau^2+C_8\Lambda_t.$$

Inserting (4.28) into the above inequality and simplifying, we obtain

$$\frac{\iota(2-6\iota)-4b}{\iota(2-3\iota)}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2 \le \frac{1}{2b}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_4\tau^2 + C_9\Lambda_t. \tag{4.32}$$

Since $\iota < \frac{1}{3}$, for any given ι we can choose b such that $\iota(1-3\iota)-2b > 0$. Then the above inequality implies

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_2\tau^2 \le \frac{\iota(2-3\iota)}{2b\big(\iota(1-3\iota)-2b\big)}\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_4\tau^2 + C_{10}\Lambda_t. \tag{4.33}$$

Finally, we need to estimate $\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\phi_4\tau^2$. Since the CO matric is Kähler and $\partial\gamma = \bar\partial\gamma = 0$, then $\partial\partial^*\gamma = \bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma$. When restricted to $V_t(\frac{1}{2})$, $\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial\partial^*\gamma = -\partial\Phi^{1,3} = 0$. From these identities, since $0 < \iota < \frac{1}{3}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2 r^{-2\iota+\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2 \le \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma|^2\tau^2\\ &=\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\partial\partial^*\gamma,\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\gamma\rangle\tau^2 \le \int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}\langle\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial\partial^*\gamma,\gamma\rangle\tau^2 + C_{11}\Lambda_t = C_{11}\Lambda_t.\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we prove the inequality (4.30), our third step.

Inserting (4.30) into (4.28) and then inserting (4.28) and (4.30) into (4.22), we get (4.21). Thus we finished the proof of Lemma 18.

Finally we prove Lemma 19. The proof is parallel to that of the previous Lemma, except that in Lemma 18 the form γ is a $(2,3)$-form while in this Lemma $\partial^*\gamma$ is a $(1,3)$-form. Replacing γ by $\partial^*\gamma$, we find that all inequalities up to (4.33) are valid. So to prove Lemma 19 we only need to estimate $\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma|^2\mathbf{r}^{-2\iota+\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2$. Since $\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma = \partial^*\partial\partial^*\gamma$, by the same method in proving (4.34), we get

$$\int_{V_t(\frac{1}{2})}|\bar\partial\bar\partial^*\partial^*\gamma|^2\mathbf{r}^{-2\iota+\frac{4}{3}}\tau^2 \le C_{12}\int_{X_t[\frac{1}{8}]}(|\gamma|^2+|\partial\Phi^{1,3}|^2).$$

This proves Lemma 19.

Appendix A. Estimates on Candelas-de la Ossa's metrics

We first recall some notations from Candelas-de la Ossa's paper [4]. We consider the family V_t:

$$V_t = \{(w_1,\cdots,w_4) \mid \sum_{i=1}^4 (w_i)^2 = t\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Since the individual V_t only depend on $|t|$, in the following we shall work with $t > 0$. We let $r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 |w_i|^2$ be the radial coordinate. We set

$$\tilde\omega_{co,t} = i\partial\bar\partial f_t(r^2)$$

The condition that the metric be Ricci-flat is

$$r^2(r^4-t^2)(\eta_t^3)' + 3t^2\eta_t^3 = 2r^8 \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_t(r^2) = r^2 f_t'(r^2). \tag{A.1}$$

The scale has been chosen so η_t has the same asymptotic behavior as $r^{\frac{4}{3}}$ for large r. After setting

$$r^2 = t\cosh\tau, \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \geq 0$$

and integrating, we pick the solution

$$\eta_t = \frac{2^{-1/3}t^{2/3}}{\tanh\tau}(\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau)^{1/3}. \tag{A.2}$$

Note that this choice of η_t makes the metric regular at $r^2 = t$. Also note that from (A.1), $f_t'(s) = s^{-1}\eta_t(s)$, and that $f_t(s)$ defined in (3.3) is a solution of this equation.

In this appendix, we want to estimate the curvature of the CO metric. Since it is homogeneous (see [4]), we only need to perform our calculation at points $q = (\frac{\sqrt{r^2-t}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i\sqrt{r^2-t}}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, t^{\frac{1}{2}})$. At first we pick some orthogonal coordinate at this point. Since $dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge dw_3 \neq 0$ near q, we can take (w_1, w_2, w_3) as a (holomorphic) coordinate in a neighborhood of the point q. By directly calculation, we get

$$\partial\bar{\partial}r^2|_q = \frac{r^2+t}{2t}\big(dw_1\wedge d\bar{w}_1 + dw_2\wedge d\bar{w}_2\big) + dw_3\wedge d\bar{w}_3 + i\frac{r^2-t}{2t}\big(dw_2\wedge d\bar{w}_1 - dw_1\wedge d\bar{w}_2\big)$$

and

$$\partial r^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} r^2|_q = 2(r^2-t)dw_2\wedge d\bar{w}_2.$$

To simplify them, we introduce a new coordinate (u_1, u_2, u_3) at the point q:

$$w_1 = \frac{2t}{r_q^2+t}u_1 - i\frac{r_q^2-t}{r_q^2+t}u_2, \quad w_2 = u_2, \quad w_3 = u_3,$$

where $r_q^2 \triangleq r^2(q)$. Under this coordinate, the $\partial\bar{\partial}r^2$ and $\partial r^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} r^2$ are expressed as

$$\partial\bar{\partial}r^2|_q = \frac{2t}{r^2+t}du_1\wedge d\bar{u}_1 + \frac{2r^2}{r^2+t}du_2\wedge d\bar{u}_2 + du_3\wedge d\bar{u}_3 \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$\partial r^2 \wedge \bar{\partial} r^2|_q = 2(r^2-t)du_2\wedge d\bar{u}_2.$$

Combined with (A.1),

$$f_t' + r^2 f_t'' = \eta_t' = \frac{2r^8 - 3t^2\eta_t^3}{3r^2(r^4-t^2)\eta_t^2}; \tag{A.4}$$

so at the point q the CO metric is

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}f_t|_q = \frac{2t\eta_t}{r^2(r^2+t)}idu_1\wedge d\bar{u}_1 + \frac{4r^4}{3\eta_t^2(r^2+t)}idu_2\wedge d\bar{u}_2 + \frac{\eta_t}{r^2}idu_3\wedge d\bar{u}_3.$$

At last we introduce a new coordinate (z_1, z_2, z_3) near the point q as:

$$z_1 = \Big(\frac{2t\eta_t(q)}{r_q^2(r_q^2+t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_1, \quad z_2 = \Big(\frac{4r_q^4}{3\eta_t^2(q)(r_q^2+t)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_2, \quad z_3 = \Big(\frac{\eta_t(q)}{r_q^2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}u_3.$$

The CO metric at this point is then expressed as

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}f_t(r^2)|_q = i\sum_{j=1}^{3}dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Under this coordinate, we can rewrite (A.3) as

$$\partial\bar\partial r^2|_q = (r^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big(\frac{r^4}{\eta_t^3}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}\Big(dz_1\wedge d\bar z_1 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}dz_2\wedge d\bar z_2 + dz_3\wedge d\bar z_3\Big)$$

and

$$\partial r^2\wedge\bar\partial r^2|_q = \frac{3}{2}(r^2)^{\frac{4}{3}}\Big(\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}}\Big(1-\frac{t^2}{r^4}\Big)dz_2\wedge d\bar z_2.$$

To estimate the curvature of the CO metric, we need to investigate the asymptotic behavior of $\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}$.

Lemma 21. *Over $[t,+\infty)$, the function $r^{-4}\eta_t^3$ is an increasing function and*

$$\lim_{r^2\to t} r^{-4}\eta_t^3 = \frac{2}{3},\qquad \lim_{r^2\to\infty} r^{-4}\eta_t^3 = 1.$$

Proof. Let $h(\tau) = r^{-4}\eta_t^3$. From (A.2),

$$h(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\cosh\tau(\sinh 2\tau - 2\tau)}{\sinh^3\tau}.$$

Differentiating,

$$h'(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\sinh^4\tau}h_1(\tau)\quad\text{where}\quad h_1(\tau) = 4\tau + e^{2\tau}(\tau-3/2) + e^{-2\tau}(\tau+3/2)$$

and

$$h_1'(\tau) = 2\tau e^{2\tau} - 2e^{2\tau} - 2\tau e^{-2\tau} - 2e^{-2\tau} + 4.$$

Thus for any $\tau>0$,

$$h_1'(\tau) = 4\tau\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(2\tau)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\cdot\frac{n}{n+1} > 0.$$

Since $h_1(0)=0$, $h_1(\tau)>0$ and $h'(\tau)>0$. So over $[0,+\infty)$, the function $r^{-4}\eta_t^3$ is a increasing function of τ. Since $r^2 = t\cosh\tau$ for $\tau\ge 0$ is an increasing function in τ, $r^{-4}\eta^3$ is increasing in r^2. Since $\tau\to 0$ when $r^2\to t$, and $\tau\to\infty$ when $r^2\to\infty$, we obtain the two desired limits by applying the L'Hospital rule. This proves the Lemma. □

We next investigate η_t'. From $\eta_t^3 = r^4 h(\tau)$,

$$3\eta_t^2\eta_t' = 2r^2h(\tau) + r^4h'(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{dr^2} = 2r^2h(\tau) + t^{-1}r^4h'(\tau)\sinh^{-1}\tau > 0,$$

hence $r^{\frac{2}{3}}\eta_t' > 0$. On the other hand by (A.4), we get

$$r^{\frac{2}{3}}\eta_t' = \frac{2-3\frac{t^2}{r^4}\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}}{3(1-\frac{t^2}{r^4})(\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4})^{\frac{2}{3}}} = \big(\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}\big)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{2-3\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4}}{3(1-\frac{t^2}{r^4})(\frac{\eta_t^3}{r^4})^{\frac{2}{3}}}.$$

Then from Lemma 21, we see that

$$0 < r^{\frac{2}{3}}\eta_t' < 1.$$

In the following for two functions $\alpha(r,t)$ and $\beta(r,t)$ in r and t we shall use $\alpha \lesssim \beta$ to mean that there is a constant C independent on r and t such that

$$|\alpha(r,t)| \leq C|\beta(r,t)|.$$

Under this convention, the previous Lemma and the last inequality can be abbreviated as

$$\eta_t \lesssim r^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t' \lesssim r^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

For higher derivatives, by introducing $\epsilon = \frac{t}{r^2}$, the identities (A.1) and (A.4) imply

$$\eta_t'' \lesssim r^{-\frac{8}{3}}(1-\epsilon)^{-1}, \quad \eta_t^{(3)} \lesssim r^{-\frac{14}{3}}(1-\epsilon)^{-2}.$$

Therefore, by the second identity of (A.1), we obtain the following asymptotic estimate

$$f_t' \lesssim r^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad f_t'' \lesssim r^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \quad f_t^{(3)} \lesssim r^{-\frac{14}{3}}(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f_t^{(4)} \lesssim r^{-\frac{20}{3}}(1-\epsilon)^{-2}.$$

To proceed, we need to the partial derivatives of r^2 with respect to z_i and $\bar{z}_i$. For simplicity, we shall use the subscript i to denote the partial derivative with respect to z_i, and use $\bar{i}$ for derivatives with respect to $\bar{z}_i$. Thus, for instance, $\frac{\partial^2 r^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} = (r^2)_{i\bar{j}}$.

Under this convention, we compute directly that at the point q, the first order partial derivatives

$$(r^2)_1 = (r^2)_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (r^2)_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} i (r^2 - t)^{\frac{1}{2}} (r^2 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\eta_t}{r^2} \lesssim r^{\frac{4}{3}} (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \tag{A.5}$$

the second order derivatives $(r^2)_{i\bar{j}} = 0$ except the following

$$(r^2)_{1\bar{1}} = (r^2)_{3\bar{3}} = \frac{r^2}{\eta_t} \lesssim r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (r^2)_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{\eta_t^2}{r^2} \lesssim r^{\frac{2}{3}}; \tag{A.6}$$

the second derivatives $(r^2)_{ij} = 0$ except the following

$$(r^2)_{11} = (r^2)_{33} = -\frac{r^2}{\eta_t} \lesssim r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (r^2)_{22} = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{\eta_t^2}{r^2}\epsilon \lesssim r^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

For the third order partial derivatives of type $i\bar{j}k$, we have the vanishing $(r^2)_{i\bar{j}k} = 0$ except the following

$$(r^2)_{1\bar{1}1} = \frac{r^3(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_t^{\frac{3}{2}}(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (r^2)_{1\bar{2}1} = -i\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(r^2)_{2\bar{1}2} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_t^{\frac{3}{2}}(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r^3(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (r^2)_{2\bar{2}2} = -i\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{4}\frac{t\eta_t^3(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r^6(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(r^2)_{3\bar{1}3} = \frac{r^3(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_t^{\frac{3}{2}}(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (r^2)_{3\bar{2}3} = -i\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\frac{(1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the fourth order partial derivatives, we still have the vanishing except the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(r^2)_{1\bar{1}1\bar{1}} = \frac{r^4}{t\eta_t^2} \lesssim r^{\frac{4}{3}} t^{-1}, \quad (r^2)_{1\bar{2}1\bar{2}} = (r^2)_{2\bar{1}2\bar{1}} = \frac{3\eta_t}{2r^2} \lesssim r^{-\frac{2}{3}},\\
&(r^2)_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} = \frac{9t\eta_t^4}{4r^8} \lesssim t r^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \quad (r^2)_{1\bar{3}1\bar{3}} = (r^2)_{3\bar{1}3\bar{1}} = \frac{r^4}{t\eta_t^2} \lesssim r^{\frac{4}{3}} t^{-1},\\
&(r^2)_{3\bar{3}3\bar{3}} = \frac{r^4}{t\eta_t^2} \lesssim r^{\frac{4}{3}} t^{-1}, \quad (r^2)_{2\bar{3}2\bar{3}} = (r^2)_{3\bar{2}3\bar{2}} = \frac{3\eta_t}{2r^2} \lesssim r^{-\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We now use these asymptotic estimate of the partial derivatives of r^2 to prove Proposition 20; namely that *there is a constant C independent of t and r such that the curvature tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of the CO metric $\tilde{\omega}_{co,t}$* at q has bound

$$
R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}}. \tag{A.7}
$$

Since the coordinate (z_1, z_2, z_3) is orthogonal at q, the curvature at q has the form

$$
R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -(f_t)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + (f_t)_{ik\bar{q}}(f_t)_{q\bar{j}\bar{l}}.
$$

One group of terms appearing in $(f_t)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ are of the type

$$
f_t^{(4)} \cdot (r^2)_i (r^2)_{\bar{j}} (r^2)_k (r^2)_{\bar{l}}, \quad f_t^{(3)} \cdot \sum (r^2)_{i_1 i_2} (r^2)_{i_3} (r^2)_{i_4}, \quad f_t'' \cdot \sum (r^2)_{i_1 i_2} (r^2)_{i_3 i_4},
$$

where the summations are taken over all possible permutation $\{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} = \{i, \bar{j}, k, \bar{l}\}$. For such type of terms, using the previous estimate, we check directly that they are bounded by $Cr^{-\frac{4}{3}}$.

The other group of terms in appearing $(f_t)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ are of the type:

$$
f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \tag{A.8}
$$

$$
f_t'' \cdot \big((r^2)_{i\bar{j}k}(r^2)_{\bar{l}} + (r^2)_{i\bar{l}k}(r^2)_{\bar{j}}\big), \tag{A.9}
$$

$$
f_t'' \cdot \big((r^2)_{\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(r^2)_i + (r^2)_{\bar{j}i\bar{l}}(r^2)_k\big). \tag{A.10}
$$

Of these, (A.8) vanishes when $i \neq k$ or $j \neq l$, (A.9) vanishes when $i \neq k$ and (A.10) vanishes when $j \neq l$. The remainder cases in (A.8)-(A.10) may be not vanishing, and will be treated separated momentarily.

We now look at the product term $(f_t)_{ik\bar{q}}(f_t)_{q\bar{j}\bar{l}}$. First in the expression of $(f_t)_{ik\bar{q}}$, the following two types of terms

$$
f_t^{(3)} \cdot (r^2)_i (r^2)_k (r^2)_{\bar{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_t'' \cdot \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3} (r^2)_{i_1 i_2} (r^2)_{i_3}
$$

are bounded by $Cr^{-\frac{2}{3}}$; therefore corresponding product terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(f_t^{(3)} \cdot (r^2)_i (r^2)_k (r^2)_{\bar{q}} + f_t'' \cdot \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3} (r^2)_{i_1 i_2} (r^2)_{i_3}\Big)\\
\times &\Big(f_t^{(3)} \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{j}} (r^2)_{\bar{l}} (r^2)_q + f_t'' \cdot \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3} (r^2)_{j_1 j_2} (r^2)_{j_3}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the expansion of $(f_t)_{ik\bar{q}}(f_t)_{q\bar{j}\bar{l}}$ are also bounded by $Cr^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. Here the summations are over all possible permutation $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{i, k, \bar{q}\}$ and $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\} = \{\bar{j}, \bar{l}, q\}$.

The remainder terms in $(f_t)_{ik\bar{q}}(f_t)_{q\bar{j}\bar{l}}$ are of the following types:

$$(f_t')^2 \cdot (r^2)_{ik\bar{q}}(r^2)_{\bar{j}\bar{l}q}, \tag{A.11}$$

$$f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{ik\bar{q}} \cdot \big(f_t'' \sum (r^2)_{i_1 i_2}(r^2)_{i_3} + f_t^{(3)} \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{j}}(r^2)_{\bar{l}}(r^2)_q\big), \tag{A.12}$$

$$f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{j}\bar{l}q} \cdot \big(f_t'' \sum (r^2)_{i_1 i_2}(r^2)_{i_3} + f_t^{(3)} \cdot (r^2)_i(r^2)_k(r^2)_{\bar{q}}\big), \tag{A.13}$$

where the summation in the second line is taken over all possible permutation $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{\bar{j}, \bar{l}, q\}$ and summation in the last line is taken over all possible permutation $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{i, k, \bar{q}\}$. Like before, they vanish when $i \neq k$ in case (A.11) and (A.12) or when $j \neq l$ in case (A.11) and (A.13).

Combining the above discussion, we see that when $i \neq k$ and $j \neq l$, the bound (A.7) follow immediately. For others, we need to treat case by case.

For the case $i \neq k$ and $j = l$, we have

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{j}} \lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}} - f_t'' \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{j}\bar{j}i}(r^2)_k\big(1 - f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{i\bar{i}}\big) - f_t'' \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{j}\bar{j}k}(r^2)_i\big(1 - f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{k\bar{k}}\big).$$

We claim that the last term is always zero. Indeed, because of (A.5), if $i \neq 2$, then the last term is equal to zero. If $i = 2$, then $k \neq 2$, and by (A.1) and (A.6) we have $1 - f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{k\bar{k}} = 0$. This proves the claim that the last item always vanishes. For the same reason, the second item vanishes. Therefore, when $i \neq k$ and $j = l$, the estimate (A.7) holds.

For the case $i = k$ and $j \neq l$, we have $R_{i\bar{j}i\bar{l}} = \overline{R_{j\bar{i}l\bar{i}}} \lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. This proves the bound (A.7) in this case.

Finally, we need to consider the cases $i = k$, $j = l$ and $i \neq j$. We should consider these cases individually. In case $i\bar{j}k\bar{l} = 1\bar{3}1\bar{3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1\bar{3}1\bar{3}} \lesssim & r^{-\frac{4}{3}} - f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{1\bar{3}1\bar{3}} + (f_t')^2 \cdot (r^2)_{11\bar{1}}(r^2)_{\bar{3}\bar{3}1} \\ & + \big(f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{11\bar{2}} + f_t'' \cdot (r^2)_{11}(r^2)_{\bar{2}}\big) \cdot \big(f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{3}\bar{3}2} + f_t'' \cdot (r^2)_{\bar{3}\bar{3}}(r^2)_2\big). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is clearly $\lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}}$; the second and third items combined give

$$-f_t' \cdot (r^2)_{1\bar{3}1\bar{3}} + (f_t')^2 \cdot (r^2)_{11\bar{1}}(r^2)_{\bar{3}\bar{3}1} = -f_t' \frac{r^4}{t\eta_t^2} + (f_t')^2 \frac{r^6(r^2 - t)}{t\eta_t^3(r^2 + t)} = \frac{-2r^2}{\eta_t(r^2 + t)} \lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

This proves the bound (A.7) for $R_{1\bar{3}1\bar{3}}$. By similar method, we obtain desired bound (A.7) for $R_{1\bar{2}1\bar{2}}$ and $R_{2\bar{3}2\bar{3}}$.

Finally, we consider the case $i = j = k = l$. Since the metric is Ricci-flat, we have

$$R_{i\bar{i}i\bar{i}} = -\sum_{j \neq i} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \lesssim r^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 20.

Remark 22. *We remark that when $r \to t$, the induced metric on the surface $r^2 =$ const. approaches*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{2t^2}{3}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}} ds^2|_{S^3},$$

where $ds^2|_{S^3}$ *is the standard metric on* S^3. *The curvature of the limiting metric is* $Ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ *for some constant* C.

References

[1] L. Alessandrini and G. Bassanelli, *Modifications of compact balanced manifolds.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **320**(1995), 1517-1522. MR 1340064, Zbl 0842.32024.

[2] W. K. Allard, *On the first variation of a varifold*, Ann. Math. **95**(1972), 417-492, MR 0307015.

[3] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, P. S. Green and E. Sharpe, *Compactifications of heterotic strings on non-Kähler complex manifolds, II*, Nuclear Physics **B 678**(2004)19-100, MR 2022991.

[4] P. Candelas and Xenia C. de la Ossa, *Comments on conifolds.* Nuclear Phys. B **342**(1990), 246-268, MR 1068113.

[5] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh and S.Sethi, *M theory, orientifolds and G-flux*, JHEP **9908**(1999)023, MR 1720178, Zbl 1060.81575.

[6] R. Friedman, *Simultaneous resolution of threefold double points*, Math. Ann. **274**(1986), 671-689, MR 0848512.

[7] R. Friedman, *On threefolds with trivial canonical bundle*, Complex geometry and Lie theory (Sundance, UT, 1989), 103–134, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, MR 1141199.

[8] J.-X. Fu, L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, *Local heterotic torsional models*, arXiv:0806.2392.

[9] J.-X. Fu and S.-T. Yau, *The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampère*, J. Differential Geom. **78**(2008), 369-428, MR 2396248.

[10] E. Goldstein and S. Prokushkin, *Geometric model for complex non-Kähler manifolds with* $SU(3)$ *structure*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251**(2004), 65-78, MR 2096734.

[11] D. Grantcharov, G. Grantcharov and Y. Poon, *Calabi-Yau Connections with Torsion on Toric Bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **78**(2008), 13-32.

[12] J. Gutowski, S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, *Deformations of generalized calibrations and compact non-Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Chern class.* Asian J. Math. **7**(2003), 39-79.

[13] L. Hörmander, L^2 *estimates and existence theorems for the* $\bar{\partial}$ *operator*, Acta Math. **113**(1965), 89-152, MR 0179443.

[14] K. Kodaira and D. C. Spencer, *On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stabolity theorems for complex structures.* Ann. of Math. (2), **71**(1960), 43-76, MR 0115189.

[15] J. Li and S.-T. Yau, *The existence of supersymmetric string theorey with torsion*, J. Differential Geom. **70**(2005), 143-181, MR 2192064, Zbl 1102.53052.

[16] J. Li, S.-T. Yau and F.-Y. Zheng, *On projectively flat Hermitian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2**(1994), 103-109, MR 1312680.

[17] P. Lu and G. Tian, *complex structures on connected sums of* $S^3 \times S^3$, Manifolds and geometry (Pisa, 1993), 284-293, Sympos. Math., **XXXVI**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996, MR1410077.

[18] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, *Sobolov and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Pure Appl. Math. **26**(1973), 361-379, MR 0344978.

[19] M. L. Michelsohn, *On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry.* Acta Math. **149**(1982), 261-295, MR 0688351, Zbl 0531.53053.

[20] J. Morrow and K. Kodaira, Complex Manifolds, *1971, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc*, MR 2214741.

[21] T. Ohsawa, *On the* L^2 *cohomology groups of isolated singularities*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **22**, 1993, Progress in Differential Geometry, 247-263, MR 1274953.

[22] M. Reid, *The moduli space of* 3*-folds with* $K = 0$ *may nevertheless be irreducible*, Math. Ann. **278**(1987), 329-334, MR 0909231, Zbl 0649.14021.

[23] A. Strominger, *Superstings with Torsion*, Nuclear Physics **B 274**(1986), 253-284, MR 0851702.

[24] C.-C. Wu, On the geometry of superstrings with torsion, thesis, Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138, April 2006.

[25] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31**(1978), 339-411, MR 0480350, Zbl 0362.53049.

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
E-mail address: `majxfu@fudan.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA94305, USA
E-mail address: `jli@math.stanford.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `yau@math.harvard.edu`